1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3	HOUSTON DIVISION
4	MRS. SAMUEL E. ALLGOOD, X
5	INDIVIDUALLY AND AS X INDEPENDENT EXECUTRIX OF X
6	THE ESTATE OF SAMUEL E. X ALLGOOD, MARCUS ALLGOOD, X
7	AND MALCOLM ALGOOD X X
8	VS. X C.A. NO. H-91-0158
9	R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO X COMPANY, THE AMERICAN X
10	TOBACCO COMPANY, THE X TOBACCO INSTITUTE, INC., X
11	AND THE COUNCIL FOR TOBACCO X RESEARCH-U.S.A., INC. X
12	
13	DEPOSITION OF P. CAREN PHELAN, Ph.D.
14	MARCH 22, 1994
15	
16	DEPOSITION AND ANSWERS of P. CAREN PHELAN,
17	called as witness by the plaintiffs, taken on the 22nd
18	day of March 1994 between the hours of 9 a.m. and 2:45
19	p.m. in the law offices of Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue,
20	301 Congress Avenue, Suite 1200, Austin, Texas, before
21	Neal W. Husak, certified shorthand reporter, state of
22	Texas, pursuant to the following stipulation and waiver
23	of counsel.
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES
2	ALDEN D. HOLFORD, 7515 Kensico, Houston, Texas 77036.
3	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS
4	
5	PETER J. BIERSTEKER (Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue),
6	Metropolitan Square, 1450 G Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005-2088.
7	JOSEPH M. DAVID, JR. (Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue),
8	Metropolitan Square, 1450 G Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005-2088.
9	FOR THE DEFENDANT
10	R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO COMPANY
11	
12	SCOTT ALLEN (Cruse, Scott, Henderson, and Allen), 1850 Two Houston Center, 909 Fannin, Houston,
13	Texas 77010.
14	THOMAS E. RILEY (Chardbourne & Parke), 30 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, New York 10112.
15	FOR THE DEFENDANT THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANY
16	THE AMERICAN TOBACCO COMPANT
17	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
18	CMTDUT AMTONG
19	STIPULATIONS
20	This stipulation is being made by the parties hereto, through their respective attorneys of
21	record, and is to be incorporated within the text herein as follows:
22	The deposition of P. CAREN PHELAN, Ph.D. is
23	being taken for use in Civil Action No. H-91-0158 pending in the United States District Court for the
24	Southern District of Texas pursuant to notice and subponea.
25	

1		PULATIONS OTHER THAN THOSE SET FORTH HEREIN S	
2	BE MADE ON THE RECORD AND INCLUDED IN THE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT.		
3	1 977	PULATION REGARDING OBJECTIONS:	
4	1. 311.		. +ha
5	Federal	All objections will be made pursuant to Rules of Civil Procedure.	, che
6	2 STT	PULATION REGARDING SIGNATURE OF THE WITNESS:	
7			
8	The original will be submitted to the witness, and the witness will return the signed deposition to the attorney who asked the first question within 20 days.		
10	WICHIH	20 days.	
		* * * * * * * *	
11		I N D E X	
12		PHELAN DEPOSITION EXHIBITS	
13	EXHIBIT		
14	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
15	1	Curriculum Vitae	57
16	2	Notice of Intention to Take Oral Deposition of P. Caren Phelan	106
17	2A	(Reserved for Later Production) Annotated	
18		Deposition Pages	139
19	3	R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company's Responses to First Set of Interrogatories	172
20	4	Page 5, Identification of Dr. Phelan's	
21	_ <del>-</del>	Psycological Position Taken in this Case	173
22		* * * * * *	
23			
24			
25			

CAREN PHELAN, Ph.D., called 1 Ρ. 2 as a witness by the plaintiffs, having been duly 3 sworn, testified as follows: I have five after 9. MR. HOLFORD: I just was handed defendant R. J. Reynolds Tobacco 5 Company's objections to plaintiff's request for 7 documents from P. Caren Phelan, Ph.D. I'm not going 8 to read it because it's untimely. 9 Well, it's not untimely MR. DAVID: 10 under the rules. Since you provided a subpoena -11 purported subpoena duces tecum by fax late in the 12 afternoon on the 16th, not counting weekend days, it 13 is not at all untimely. 14 Well, I can't discuss your MR. HOLFORD: 15 dates, Mr. David. They may be right. I don't know, 16 but there we stand. 17 MR. DAVID: It doesn't matter. Let's 18 get on with the deposition. 19 MR. HOLFORD: Now, we're doing this 20 under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure except 21 that I understand -- do you go by doctor? 22 THE WITNESS: You can call me whatever 23 I'll answer to a number of things. you want. 24 MR. DAVID: Doctor would be nice. 25 MR. HOLFORD: But you are a doctor of

```
1
           3philosophy. Isn't that right?
 2
                       THE WITNESS:
                                      I am a Ph.D. That is
 3
                     I am a licensed psychologist.
           correct.
 4
                       MR. HOLFORD: You want to read and sign
 5
           this deposition?
 6
                       THE WITNESS:
                                      Please.
 7
                              EXAMINATION
 8
       QUESTIONS BY MR. HOLFORD:
 9
           Now, would you state your full name.
10
       Α
           It's P, period, Caren, C-a-r-e-n, Phelan,
11
           P-h-e-l-a-n.
12
       Q
           What does the P. stand for?
13
       Α
           Stands for Pearl.
14
           P-e-a-r-1?
       0
15
       Α
           Yes, sir, good old southern name.
16
       Q
           What are you charging for your time at this
17
           deposition?
18
       Α
           My usual fees. For depositions, I charge the same
19
           as I do in court. That's a hundred seventy-five an
20
           hour.
21
           Do you have a different charge for reviewing
22
           materials?
23
       Α
           Yes, sir, I do.
24
       Q
          What is that?
25
       Α
           Reviewing materials I charge at the usual rate of
```

1		
1		95.
2	Q	What is your social security number?
3	A	Oh, I don't know if I want to give you my social
4		security number.
5	Q	Well, I have a check to make out to you at the end
6		of the deposition.
7	A	Okay. I always hesitate to give out social security
8		numbers.
9	Q	If you'd rather not give it on the record, we can do
10		it that way.
11	A	Thank you. I would appreciate that.
12		MR. HOLFORD: Off the record.
13	 	(Discussion off the record.
14		MR. HOLFORD: Okay, back on.
15	Q	(By Mr. Holford) What is your residence address?
16	A	9105 Zyle, Z as in zebra, y-l-e, Road, Austin,
17		Texas.
18	Q	What is the zip?
19	A	78737.
20	Q	What is your telephone there?
21	A	That's area code (512) 288-0398.
22	Q	What is your business address?
23	A	That's 4807 Spicewood Springs Road, Building 1,
24		Suite 1140, Austin, 78759.
25	Q	Your phone there?

Is (512) 346-6038. 1 Α 2 Is that -- did you move from 7200 North Mopac? 0 3 Yes, sir, when our lease was up in August, my Α partner and I moved. 4 Incidentally -- I'm sorry. 5 MR. DAVID: 6 Have you introduced yourself to Dr. Phelan? 7 MR. HOLFORD: Not yet. MR. DAVID: Dr. Phelan, this is Mr. 8 9 He represents the plaintiff in this case, 10 just so that you know who's asking you questions. 11 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 12 MR. HOLFORD: Mr. David ---13 MR. DAVID: I think it's always nice, 14 you know, and gentlemanly to introduce yourself to a 15 person before you really begin to get into a 16 deposition. 17 MR. HOLFORD: Well, I would like you to 18 let me conduct my own deposition, Mr. David. 19 Q (By Mr. Holford) Now, you have how many partners? 20 Α There's only one other person that is in the office 21 with me plus the secretaries. 22 Q You-all are a partnership? 23 A It's not a partnership, no, sir. We're independent 24 practitioners who share common space and have been 25 together for many years.

Now, I am Doug Holford from Houston, and I represent 1 0 the plaintiffs in this case, the family of Samuel 2 3 Edward Allgood. Yes, sir. 4 Α Being his wife, Bonnie Allgood, and their sons, 5 Q Marcus and Malcolm Allgood. Have you seen those 6 7 names before, I gather? Yes, sir. Glad to meet you. 8 Α 9 Nice to meet you. Q Now, we have never met nor talked before. 10 11 Is that right? 12 Α That's true, sir. 13 Q Where were you raised? 14 Well, I've been in and out of Texas since I was Α three and spent a lot of time in Texas and spent a 15 16 lot of time in Florida, those two areas. when I was 17 because I married, and we lived all 17 over because I was an Air Force wife. 18 Were you raised in an Air Force family? 19 Q 20 Α No, sir. 21 Military family? Q 22 No, sir. Α What was the cause of your moving as much? 23 Q My father liked nice climates, and so he always 24 Α tried to spend the winters where it was nice 25

1 climate. 2 What kind of work did he do? Q 3 He had a seat on Wall Street. He was a stockbroker. Α 4 So he could work that from about anywhere, in other 0 5 words? 6 Α Just about anywhere, even back in those dim, dark 7 days. 8 0 What is your date of birth? 9 November 9, 1927. Α 10 So the dim, dark days you're referring to is the Q 11 Great Depression? 12 Α Back in even the Great Depression, yes, sir. 13 Q Would you describe your work now? 14 Α As I indicated, I'm a licensed psychologist, 15 licensed by the state of Texas. I'm also certified 16 as - in the National Council for Health Service 17 Providers in Psychology, and I work at the address I 18 gave you previously and see mostly families. 19 probably see more families than I do individual 20 people in therapy, and I see them in a variety of 21 contexts. 22 I may see the whole family, mother, father, 23 children. I may see just some of the children with

the parents sometimes. I may see just a couple in

marital therapy, but I do more work with families

24

25

than anything else. 1 2 And what would be the substances of your treatment Q of these - in these family situations? 3 4 I'm not sure I understand. Α 5 All right. Q 6 Α It's therapy. 7 Q Yes, but for what type of problems? 8 Oh, a variety of problems, everything that extends 9 from children that they're having difficulty with to 10 divorce problems, to substance abuse problems, to 11 people who have problems in terms of trying to see 12 if they can put their marriage back together or are 13 contemplating divorce. It's a variety of 14 difficulties that bring families to me. 15 And individuals - are they any different in the Q 16 problems you treat? 17 Individuals are basically the same kind of problems. Α 18 I just don't treat as many individuals as I do 19 families. 20 In your experience, Dr. Phelan, do teen-age girls Q 21 present more problems in families than teen-age 22 boys? 23 MR. DAVID: Object to the form of the 24 question. 25 (By Mr. Holford) If you have an opinion on that. Q

1 Α I think they all present problems in teen-age years, 2 sir. 3 What is the name of the person you share office 4 expense with? 5 Α That's a Dr. Deutsch. 6 Q D-e-u-t ---7 Α S-c-h, uh-huh. 8 S-c-h. What is the first name? 0 9 Her name is Connie. Α 10 Does she do the same thing you do? Q 11 Α No, we have very different practices. That's 12 probably why it's done so well. 13 What does she treat that's different than yours? Q 14 She mostly sees individuals, some couples. Α 15 What type of problems? Q 16 Α I don't really know the extent of all the people 17 that she sees. I know she deals with a lot of 18 women's issues. 19 Q Abuse of wives for instance? 20 Is there some relevance to MR. DAVID: 21 this? I object. 22 I don't know. I really don't know because we don't А 23 discuss our cases. 24 (By Mr. Holford) Now, do you understand that the Q 25 answers you're giving here under oath today have the

1 same effect as though you were at the trial of this 2 case on the stand sworn to tell the truth and 3 answering there? 4 Yes, sir. Α 5 If I ask you a question -- I think you've done this Q 6 once already? 7 Α Yes, sir. 8 But if I ask you any question and you for any reason Q 9 don't understand it, will you stop and not answer it 10 and tell me that you don't understand it? 11 Yes, sir, be glad to. Α 12 Q Now, when we get this - the transcript of this 13 deposition after you've read and signed it, if we 14 look in that transcript and find some question and 15 it appears that the only thing following that 16 question is your answer, may we fairly -- have I 17 lost you already? 18 Α Yes, sir. 19 0 We've got the transcript of this deposition later. 20 Yes, sir. Α 21 And I open it, and there on a page is a question Q 22 from me to you. 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 Following it is only your answer. 0

Yes, sir.

25

Α

1 Q All right, can we fairly understand from that that 2 in answering you've understood the question? 3 Α Yes, sir, I think that would be a fair assumption. 4 Okay, have you testified under oath before? Q 5 Yes, sir, I have. Α 6 Have you testified before as a fact witness? 0 7 Α I've testified both as a fact witness as well as an 8 expert. 9 You've testified as a fact witness as a treating Q 10 psychologist. Is that correct? 11 Α That is correct. 12 Q Have you testified as a fact witness in any other 13 type case? 14 I can't think of any. I've usually been treating Α 15 someone and had to come in and testify. 16 Q Have you testified as an expert witness at trial 17 before? 18 A Yes, sir. 19 Q How many times? 20 Α Many times. I don't count them but certainly over 21 several hundred. 22 Q And those have included, again, the cases we 23 mentioned where you were the treating psychologist. 24 Is that right? 25 Α Yes, sir.

Does that account for most of them? 1 0 2 A No, sir, most of them are expert witness 3 testimonies. 4 That is where you've been hired by a party to a Q litigation to testify as an expert on their behalf, 5 6 and it does not have to do with your patients. Is 7 that right? 8 That is correct. Α 9 How many times have you done that? Q 10 Many times. As I say, it's certainly well over a Α 11 hundred times that I've - it may be even as much as 12 two or three hundred. 13 Q Is there a typical type of testimony that you give 14 in these instances of over a hundred cases? 15 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 16 question. 17 Α It's psychological data of course because I'm a 18 psychologist. So that's - the form of the questions 19 have to do with psychological issues. 20 Q (By Mr. Holford) And is there a typical subject 21 matter that you deal with in those cases? 22 Α Probably more in terms of families, custody, 23 modifications of custody, child abuse - those kind 24 of cases. 25 0 Would those kind of cases account for the times

	1	
1		you've testified as an expert at trial other than
2		when you were testifying as the treating
3		psychologist?
4		MR. DAVID: Object to the form of the
5		question. It's unintelligible and incomprehensible.
6	Q	Do you understand my question?
7	A	If I
8		MR. DAVID: I don't.
9		MR. HOLFORD: You are not testifying,
10		Mr. David.
11		MR. DAVID: Well, if I don't understand
12		it, the witness doesn't either.
13		MR. HOLFORD: I wouldn't make that
14		assumption about Dr. Phelan. I don't know why you
1,5		do.
16		THE WITNESS: I've forgotten it now.
17		Can you go back and say it again? I'm sorry.
18		MR. HOLFORD: Yes, I will. Let's have
19		the reporter repeat it.
20		THE WITNESS: Okay.
21		(The last question was read by
22		the reporter.
23		MR. DAVID: Same objection.
24		MR. HOLFORD: Oh, did I leave out a
25		word? Let me restate it.

1 THE WITNESS: I was going to say 2 something is missing. 3 MR. HOLFORD: Something is missing 4 there, yeah. (By Mr. Holford) Dr. Phelan, you mentioned where 5 0 6 you were testifying as an expert in child custody cases, modifications of custody or support of 7 8 children orders, like that. Is that right? 9 Α Yes, and child abuse. 10 Child abuse? 0 11 Α And personal injury and all of those, yes. Has the personal injury you've testified about been 12 0 13 from a trauma? 14 It's been from different things. Α 15 Or actually could be mental effect? Q 16 Yes, it's been a number of things. Α 17 All right. Now, do those type cases that you've Q 18 just - we've just discussed and the type cases where you're in court testifying as the treating 19 20 psychologist - do those all account for your 21 instances of testifying as an expert at trial? 22 MR. DAVID: Object to the form of the 23 question. I think you're asking me are those the kind of cases 24 Α 25 that I've ended up testifying about.

- Q All of the kind of cases that you've ended up testifying about.
  - A I don't know if that's all or not because I'd have to go through -- in fact I know it isn't. I've testified in competency. I've testified in competency to stand trial. I've testified in terms of competency at the time of the crime. I've also testified in the state class action lawsuit that involved the state mental health system, and I've also testified in Waxman's court in Washington at one point about the about help for the chronically mentally disabled. So I don't know legally where all those fall, but that's just to give you an idea.
  - Q Well, have you now mentioned all the types of cases that you've been in court testifying about as an expert?
  - A I hope so.

MR. DAVID: Let me just interpose an objection. I object to the form of the question. It is vague and ambiguous and incomprehensible. Go ahead.

- A I hope so. I don't know. You know, I'd have to go back and think about each one individually.
- Q (By Mr. Holford) Well, as best you can recall now having ---

1 Α At this point, those are the ones that I recall. 2 Whether there are others, as I said, I'd have to go 3 back and look at my cases. 4 MR. DAVID: Please have the record 5 interpose an objection prior to that answer. 6 MR. HOLFORD: Well, it will be shown 7 now, and I'm not saying whether that doesn't make a 8 valid objection or not, Mr. David. 9 MR. DAVID: Well, the record is the 10 record. Whatever it shows it shows. 11 MR. HOLFORD: That's right. 12 MR. DAVID: Give me an opportunity 13 before you answer the questions to make an 14 objection, if you would, Dr. Phelan. 15 THE WITNESS: I will try to. 16 MR. DAVID: Thank you. Since Mr. 17 Holford wants to be picky this morning. 18 MR. HOLFORD: I object to the personal 19 comments. That's unprofessional and uncivil. 20 Q (By Mr. Holford) Now, Dr. Phelan, have you ever 21 testified as an expert at trial where you testified 22 as to substance abuse? 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 0 Now, would you tell me how many times that's been? 25 I can't tell you the precise number because there's Α

1 been substance abuse in many of the cases of 2 custody, many of the cases of competency to stand 3 trial. So I can't give you specific numbers. 4 testified in many cases where substance abuse is involved. 5 6 Q All right, how many cases have you testified in 7 where you gave opinions regarding substance abuse? 8 Α I don't know. I don't keep track of cases by legal 9 procedures. I keep track of cases by patients. 10 I don't have any idea. 11 Q Well, can you give me all the various types of 12 substances that you've stated opinions about before 13 in trial? 14 Many. Α 15 MR. DAVID: I'm sorry. You mean about 16 which she has a present recollection? 17 MR. HOLFORD: Well, I think ---18 Q (By Mr. Holford) Are you going to tell me anything 19 you don't recall, Dr. Phelan? 20 No, sir. Α 21 Go ahead, if you can answer the question. Q 22 I just don't want to limit MR. DAVID: 23 it to what she's telling you. I'm just telling you 24 that she's going to tell you about what she currently recalls. 25

- 1 Α Yeah, and there may be things I don't recall. That 2 is true.
  - But you are giving your best effort. Q
- I'm giving you the best effort that I can. Α 5 Certainly about drugs of all kinds.
  - Q Well, if you will, please spell out.

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Well, all kinds of drugs from methamphetamines to Α pot, to alcohol, to heroin, to crack, to cocaine. Those have all been involved plus others, you know, at this point - prescription medications, all kinds of drugs. I just can't really tell you by name every one of them, but I think if you named them, I would probably say, yes, I remember a case where those were involved.
- All right. Now, have you testified regarding any Q substances being abused other than these drugs that you've mentioned?
- Yes, glue sniffing, qasoline sniffing one time --Α did I say alcohol -- just a whole bunch of those substances. I just don't know whether that is an exhaustive list, but it's certainly the ones I can think of at this moment.

MR. DAVID: Would you open this just in case -- well, that's just a little levity.

Q (By Mr. Holford) Dr. Phelan, do you consider

1 alcohol a drug? 2 Α Oh, yes. 3 Have your testimonies regarding substance abuse ever Q included nicotine? 4 5 Α No. 6 MR. DAVID: I'm going to object to the 7 form of the question. It assumes nicotine is a 8 drug. 9 (By Mr. Holford) I think you answered already. 0 10 Would you go ahead? 11 I have not testified about nicotine. I don't Α 12 consider it a drug. 13 Okay, that was going to be my next question, right. Q 14 You consider alcohol a drug but not nicotine? 15 That is correct. Α 16 Q Do you know what the DSM III-R is? 17 Α Yes, sir. 18 Q Have you ever compared the effects of nicotine to 19 the requirements in the DSM III-R? 20 No, sir. I know what they say. I haven't used it Α 21 for comparison purposes. 22 MR. RILEY: I just want to object to the 23 form of that question. 24 (By Mr. Holford) What do you use the DSM III-R for? Q 25 Α For diagnostic purposes to put on insurance forms

1 and to put on reports. That's a Roman Numeral III? 2 0 3 Α In fact it's DSM III-R. 4 0 Yes, that's right. Thank you. 5 Soon to be DSM IV. Α 6 Q Now, so you use the DSM III-R only to diagnose 7 particular patients that you have. Right? 8 Α I use the DSM III or any of the other variations of 9 it always to diagnose a patient that I'm either 10 seeing for testing only or that is an ongoing 11 patient. 12 So do I fairly gather that you've never had a Q 13 patient or a person you were seeing only for testing 14 whose problem was nicotine dependence? 15 MR. DAVID: Object to the form of the 16 It assumes facts not in evidence, that 17 is, that there is such a thing as nicotine 18 dependence. 19 Q (By Mr. Holford) All right, let me back up. Ιs 20 there such a thing as nicotine dependence? 21 I think nicotine is a habit. I don't see it as Α 22 something that you're dependent on. 23 Okay, and do you think that there is such a thing as Q 24 nicotine addiction? 25 MR. DAVID: Just a second. I object to

1 the form of the question. The doctor is not in here 2 to tell you what she thinks. The doctor is in here 3 to give opinions. If you want opinions, ask for 4 opinions. 5 MR. HOLFORD: Okay, sure. 6 Q Dr. Phelan, in your opinion, is there such a thing 7 as nicotine addiction? 8 Α No, sir. 9 Q So am I correct that you've never treated or tested 10 anyone or testified about anyone because they used 11 nicotine? 12 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 13 question. 14 MR. DAVID: I join in that objection. 15 0 Is that right? 16 A Have I testified about people who smoke? Of course. 17 Q No, sir - I mean, no, ma'am. Sorry. All right, 18 let's take it one at a time. I think we may have 19 covered this, but have you ever given professional 20 opinions in court regarding nicotine? 21 MR. RILEY: Asked and answered. 22 A There have been plenty of people that I've worked 23 with that I've testified on through the years that 24 smoke. 25 Q Did you testify about the nicotine in their

1 cigarette smoke? Oh, no, sir. You mean the amount of nicotine? 2 Α Well, the - any effect it may have on the smoker. 3 Q No, sir. I think, if I understand your question 4 Α 5 correctly, no, sir. 6 Well, I'll have to ask it again then. Have you ever Q testified about the effect or noneffect of nicotine 7 8 in cigarette smoke? 9 Α I don't ---MR. RILEY: I object to the form of the 10 11 question. Go ahead, Doctor. 12 If I understand what you're saying, have Α Thank you. 13 I testified about the nicotine in cigarettes, no, If you're asking have I testified about people 14 15 who smoke, then the answer is yes, sir. 16 Your testimony, though, about people who smoke had Q 17 nothing to do with the fact that they smoked. 18 Right? 19 That's correct. Α 20 So I think, when you restated my question, it did Q 21 show you didn't understand it. So let me ask it 22 again. 23 You've never given professional opinion in 24 court about the effect or noneffect of nicotine in a 25 smoker?

	ļ	
1		MR. RILEY: Object to the form.
2	Q	(By Mr. Holford) Is that right?
3	A	Yeah. I think you're asking me a medical question
4		that I don't believe I can answer. You're saying
5		the amount of nicotine in a person, and, see, I
6		don't think I can answer that. I think that would
7		take some kind of medical exam to determine.
8	Q	Now, Dr. Phelan, I think the record will be clear
9		when you read this that I did not mention the amount
10	:	of nicotine in a person. I asked you about the
11		effect or noneffect on the person being a smoker.
12		Have you ever given a professional opinion about
13	:	that?
14	A	No, sir.
15		MR. RILEY: Object to the form.
16	A	I think I understand finally.
17	Q	All right, thank you.
18		MR. DAVID: I'm not sure what the
19		stipulations are if there are stipulations, but if
20		there are not such stipulations, can we stipulate
21		that the objection of one party applies to all
22		parties?
23		MR. HOLFORD: Oh, yes, yes, I always
24		agree with that.
25		MR. DAVID: Thank you.

1 MR. RILEY: That's an agreement we've 2 had throughout the case. 3 MR. HOLFORD: That's right, yes. MR. DAVID: I just wasn't sure. 4 5 0 (By Mr. Holford) That's so, Dr. Phelan, he doesn't 6 say, "I object," and then he has to say "I object," 7 and he has to say, "I object." 8 Oh, okay, thank you. Α 9 0 It only takes me hearing it once. 10 Now, similarly is it true that you've never 11 treated or tested a patient concerning the effect or 12 noneffect of nicotine on that person? 13 MR. RILEY: Object to the form. 14 MR. DAVID: Object to the form, compound 15 question. 16 MR. HOLFORD: All right, I'll break it 17 up. 18 (By Mr. Holford) Have you ever had a patient that 0 19 you treated because of the effect or noneffect of 20 nicotine on that person? 21 Α No, sir. 22 Have you ever tested a person because of the effect Q 23 or noneffect of nicotine on that person? 24 That would be a medical test, and I can't do that Α 25 because I'm not a physician.

1 0 So the answer is? 2 Α Would be no. 3 Now, you must have given a whole lot of depositions Q then, too. Is that right? 5 Α Yes, sir. 6 At least as many as you've testified in trials? Q 7 Not always, sir, but certainly many of them. Α 8 Have you ever given opinions in a deposition Q 9 concerning a smoker and concerning the effect or noneffect of nicotine in that smoker? 10 11 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 12 question. 13 Can you start it over again, please, sir? A 14 Sure. You are in a deposition now rather than at Q 15 trial. 16 Yes, sir. Α 17 Q It's the same question I asked before. Have you 18 ever in a deposition involving a smoker stated an 19 opinion about the effect or noneffect of nicotine on 20 that smoker? 21 MR. RILEY: Same objection. 22 Α I think I understand it. No, sir, I haven't 23 testified in a deposition to somebody smoking. 24 Or the effect of nicotine on the smoker? 0 25 Or the effect of nicotine. Α

1 That's correct? Q 2 Α That is correct. 3 0 You know the difference between a consulting expert 4 and a testifying expert? 5 Yes, sir. Α 6 Q Okay, how many times have you been a consulting 7 expert when it did not turn into being a testifying 8 expert? 9 Α Quite a few times. Again, I just don't keep track 10 of cases. I keep track of patients. 11 Q As a consulting expert, did you ever give opinion 12 regarding a smoker and concerning the effect or 13 noneffect of nicotine in that smoker? 14 MR. RILEY: Object to the form. 15 Say that again. Α 16 Yes, ma'am. As a consulting expert, have you ever Q 17 given opinion regarding a smoker and the effect or 18 noneffect of nicotine in that smoker? 19 MR. RILEY: Same objection. 20 Α I don't understand the part about the nicotine in 21 the person. That's the part that's confusing me. I 22 don't understand quite that part of it. Can we ---23 All right, sure, let's go into that a little bit. 0 24 Now, is there nicotine in tobacco? 25 Α Yes, sir.

1 0 Is there nicotine in cigarette smoke when a person 2 smokes it? 3 As I understand, yes. Α 4 Does that nicotine enter the respiratory system and 0 5 the lungs of that smoker? 6 Α I'm assuming it does. Again, I don't have medical 7 tests that tell me that, but I'll certainly assume 8 it does. 9 Q To your understanding, that's - the smoke goes down 10 there, doesn't it? 11 Α Absolutely. That's why you smoke. 12 Q And the nicotine is in that smoke. Right? 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 Do you know whether or not the nicotine is absorbed 0 15 into the body of the smoker in that process? 16 My understanding is that is true. Α 17 0 Do you know whether or not in about eight seconds 18 that nicotine affects the central nervous system of 19 that smoker? 20 MR. DAVID: Object to the form of the 21 question, assumes facts not in evidence. 22 Q (By Mr. Holford) From the time it's ingested? 23 MR. RILEY: It's also ambiguous. 24 I don't know any time frames. Α 25 Q All right, do you know that nicotine ingested into

1		the body by smoking cigarettes does affect the
2		central nervous system of the smoker?
3		MR. DAVID: The same objection. It's
4		vague and ambiguous.
5	A	I don't know. I'll assume for the sake of this that
6		it does.
7	Q	(By Mr. Holford) No. I am only interested if you
8		know that or not.
9	A	Okay.
10	Q	Do you know that?
11	A	I know
12		MR. DAVID: She said she didn't know.
13	A	I don't know.
14	Q	And you say that's because you're not a medical
15		doctor?
16	A	That is correct.
17	Q	So in fact you don't have expertise to give opinion
18		about the effect or noneffect of nicotine on the
19		human body of a smoker who smokes cigarettes?
20		MR. RILEY: Object to the form.
21	:	MR. HOLFORD: I'm going to rephrase it
22		because it's a bit sloppy.
23	Q	You don't have any expertise to give opinion on the
24		effect or noneffect of nicotine on a cigarette
25		smoker?
1	T .	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

1 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 2 question, mischaracterizes the testimony. 3 Q Is that right? 4 MR. RILEY: It's vague and ambiguous. 5 I will echo that. MR. DAVID: Ιt 6 certainly mischaracterizes the testimony. 7 Α I know a lot about smoking, and I know a lot about 8 smokers because I work with alcoholics and drug 9 addicts, and there's hardly any alcoholic or any 10 drug addict that I've worked with in the past 25 11 years that didn't smoke. So I know a lot about 12 smoking, but if you're asking me medically if I know 13 what happens to the nicotine in the body, I will 14 refer you to a physician because I'm not a 15 I know psychologically a lot about physician. 16 smoking and about the people who smoke. 17 MR. HOLFORD: Okay, motion to strike as 18 nonresponsive. 19 MR. DAVID: Well, I'll object to the 20 motion. I think it's absolutely responsive to the 21 question. You just didn't like the answer. 22 MR. HOLFORD: Well, that's a sidebar, 23 Mr. David. I'll thank you to keep them out of the 24 deposition. 25 MR. DAVID: I kind of like them myself.

1 0 (By Mr. Holford) Now, Dr. Phelan, what I'm asking 2 you specifically is whether you have any expertise 3 to give opinion about the effect or noneffect of 4 nicotine on a cigarette smoker? 5 MR. DAVID: I object to the question. 6 It's been asked and answered, and it's further vaque 7 and ambiguous. 8 Α I will have to answer the same way I did because if 9 you're asking me do I know a lot about smokers and 10 about the psychology of smokers and about people who 11 smoke, I've got a lot of experience with that. 12 got 25 years of working with alcoholics and drug 13 addicts, but if you're asking me do I know precisely 14 how nicotine affects the body, I feel that's a 15 medical question, and I'm going to refer you to a 16 physician because I'm not a medical person. 17 MR. HOLFORD: Object, nonresponsive, 18 motion to strike. 19 MR. DAVID: Well, I object to your 20 motion, move to strike it. 21 0 (By Mr. Holford) Now, Dr. Phelan, I am asking you 22 specifically about the chemical nicotine, all right, 23 and whether it has or has not any effect on the 24 smoker. Okay? Now, I'll state it again. 25 Α Okay.

1 You do not have any expertise to give opinion on the Q 2 effect or noneffect of nicotine on a cigarette 3 Isn't that right? smoker. 4 Object to the form of the MR. DAVID: 5 It's been asked and answered twice. 6 You're badgering the witness. She has been 7 responsive to your question. You're going to get 8 the same response to your question, and this is the 9 last time that I'll let her answer it. 10 I know the psychological effect of nicotine on the Α 11 I'm not going to presume that I can tell 12 you about the internal organs and their response to 13 the nicotine because I'm not a physician. I want to 14 refer you to the physician, but I know a lot about 15 the psychological effects. 16 (By Mr. Holford) All right. All right, now, but 0 17 you mean specifically the psychological effects of 18 nicotine, right, is what you're saying? 19 Α I'm saying the psychological effects of smoking and 20 nicotine as contained in the smoke. 21 Well, do you have any expertise to give opinion Q 22 about the psychological effects on a smoker of 23 nicotine? 24 Assuming there are any. MR. DAVID:

See, I'm only talking about people who smoke.

25

Α

1 know what the psychological effects of smoking are, 2 and if we assume that there's nicotine in the smoke, 3 then obviously the answer is yes. 4 MR. HOLFORD: Well, I'll say it's not 5 responsive and move to strike. 6 MR. DAVID: I object to the motion. 7 It's absolutely responsive. 8 Q (By Mr. Holford) Now, Dr. Phelan, I'm asking you 9 simply and only about nicotine, effect or noneffect. 10 All right? I'm not asking you about the totality of 11 smoking. Okay? 12 MR. DAVID: Well, then whatever question 13 you're going to ask now has been asked and answered. 14 Q (By Mr. Holford) Do you have any expertise to give 15 opinion about the psychological effects of nicotine 16 on a cigarette smoker? 17 Α As and if it's contained in cigarettes, and I'm 18 making the assumption that it is. That's all. 19 Q Yes, and I'm separating out nicotine from the 20 hand-to-mouth motion, from all the other things that 21 attend smoking. Okay? 22 Α Uh-huh. (Yes) 23 And I want to know if you have any expertise to give Q 24 opinion on the psychological effect or noneffect of 25 nicotine on a cigarette smoker?

1 Let me see if I understand. If you mean, given a 2 beaker in front of me of pure nicotine, if I know 3 what that would do to the human body? 4 To the human mind is this question. 0 5 Α Or to the human mind? 6 0 Yes. 7 Α I'm not a physician again or a chemist or a 8 biochemist, and I think that belongs to that 9 particular profession - set of professions to give 10 those kind of answers. All I can address is I 11 certainly know what - a lot about the psychology of 12 people who smoke, and if we assume there's nicotine 13 in the smoking, then I can address that 14 specifically. 15 Well, but, Dr. Phelan, if you don't understand what 0 16 effect if any nicotine has on the human psyche, then 17 how can you address that in addressing smoking? 18 MR. DAVID: Well, I object to the 19 question, misstates her testimony, absolutely 20 misstates her testimony. 21 Yeah, that wasn't what I said. Α 22 (By Mr. Holford) Well, can you answer that 0 23 question? 24 MR. DAVID: No, no, I object to the form 25 of the question. It's a misstatement of her

1 testimony. She can't answer. 2 Q (By Mr. Holford) Do you understand that they make 3 their objections, and then unless he instructs you 4 not to answer ---5 Α I know. 6 MR. DAVID: Well, I will instruct her 7 not to answer a question that misstates her 8 testimony. 9 MR. HOLFORD: Are you instructing her 10 not to answer this? 11 MR. DAVID: Yes, I am. 12 Q (By Mr. Holford) Are you going to follow his 13 advice? 14 Sure. Α 15 Q Is he your lawyer? 16 Α No. 17 Q Well, you just ---18 MR. DAVID: Don't argue with the 19 witness. 20 MR. HOLFORD: Oh, yeah, all right. 21 Now, Mr. David is not your lawyer. Right? Q 22 Α No, sir. 23 Q Okay, but you're going to follow his instruction. 24 Is that right? 25 Because it made sense to me, yes. Α

Well, I'm going to require you to answer the 1 0 question, Dr. Phelan. I'm asking you to answer it. 2 3 Are you going to answer it? Well, I don't remember it ---4 Α 5 Q We'll repeat it. 6 Α --- at this point. 7 MR. DAVID: Wait. First of all, let's get something straight on the record. 8 9 MR. HOLFORD: Yeah. MR. DAVID: You can't require this 10 11 witness to answer a question, Mr. Holford. 12 court can require the witness to answer a question. 13 If I instruct a witness not to answer and if the witness says that she's not going to answer, then 14 it's not up to you to require this witness to 15 16 answer. You can go ahead and ask the question again 17 all you want. 18 MR. HOLFORD: Right. Mr. David is technically right. I don't have the 19 Q 20

- power, you know, to make you speak.
- Of course. Α

21

22

- But up to this point, you've said that you're Q accepting Mr. David's instruction. Right?
- 24 Well, I said in that instance it made a lot of sense Α 25 to me. So I accepted that.

MR. DAVID: Can't you restate your question? It seems to me that it's eminently restatable.

MR. HOLFORD: I don't remember the words either, Mr. David. I am going to ask the reporter to repeat that question.

(The last question was read by the reporter.

MR. DAVID: I will object to the form of the question because it misstates her prior testimony, but if you understand the question, Dr. Phelan, you answer it as you understand it, and if you want to qualify your response, you are entitled to do that.

- A Well, I'm pretty much going to give you the same answer I did, that I know a lot about smoking and the psychology of people who smoke and about the effects that the cigarettes have on people who smoke. If I assume they contain nicotine, then I have to respond yes, I know something about the response to the human psyche or psychology about cigarettes that contain nicotine and their effect on people.
- Q (By Mr. Holford) Tell me what you understand about the effect of nicotine on the human psychology.

- A Well, I'm not going to be able to single out nicotine. I'm going to talk about cigarettes, which I'm assuming contain nicotine, because I'm not going to separate it out as a beaker full of pure nicotine because I've already stated I think that question is better asked to a chemist or a physician.
- Q All right. Well, let's do it this way then: You know, do you know that one drop of nicotine ingested or injected into a human being will kill that person?

MR. DAVID: I object to the question.

Q (By Mr. Holford) Do you know that?

- A I know that nicotine poisoning can occur, quite right, yes.
- Q All right. All right, so let's not talk about a beakerful. All right, let's talk about a mist of nicotine, all right, pure nicotine, nothing else.
- A I know that in its pure form -- and I know this only as a layperson from stuff that I have read -- that pure nicotine is considered a poison.
- Q Well, let's take a cigarette, and then we'll put it on a machine to take - to puff it down to, you know, a stub, and out of that smoke this machine extracts the nicotine, all right, and we are going to take that much nicotine. It's probably a very small

1 amount. Right? 2 I have no idea. Α 3 MR. DAVID: Well, let's ---4 But it doesn't kill a person, right, because that's Q 5 what a smoker gets when they smoke a cigarette. 6 Right? 7 Α I have no idea. 8 MR. DAVID: Let's make it clear on the 9 record that this witness is being offered as an 10 expert witness on the issue of addiction. Do you 11 understand that? 12 MR. HOLFORD: I understand you gave me 13 her report. I'm not going to agree to any 14 conclusions, Mr. David. 15 Q (By Mr. Holford) Now, Dr. Phelan ---16 MR. DAVID: She is not a cigarette 17 design expert. You understand that? 18 MR. HOLFORD: Yes, uh-huh. 19 Q (By Mr. Holford) Dr. Phelan, are you willing to 20 talk about the amount of nicotine in one cigarette 21 taken out of that cigarette? 22 No, sir, because I don't have any idea about that. Α 23 Q Well, that's what I'm trying to get to. 24 Α I don't have any idea how much or how little or how 25 whatever nicotine is in each cigarette.

1 0 Or what effect that nicotine has on the human body 2 or mind. Right? 3 MR. DAVID: Object to the form of that 4 question. 5 MR. BIERSTEKER: Asked and answered as 6 well. 7 Α I go back to - I can talk about smokers. I can talk 8 about smokers who smoke cigarettes, which contain 9 some kinds of nicotine. 10 (By Mr. Holford) All right, does the nicotine in a Q 11 cigarette have any effect on the body of a person 12 who smokes it, in your professional opinion? 13 Α I think you're asking a medical question. 14 talk about the psychology of smokers. I can talk 15 about what they get from cigarettes, why people 16 smoke. 17 I cannot talk about the specifics of the 18 internal organs that are affected by anything they 19 ingest, either nicotine or chocolate or anything 20 I think those are questions that you have to 21 ask a physician. 22 Q Dr. Phelan, have you in your many, many, many times 23 of testifying in court ever been instructed by a 24 trial judge to just answer the question asked? 25 Α Oh, yes, sir.

1 0 Would you -- are you trying to do that here? 2 MR. DAVID: Sounds like ---3 MR. RILEY: What - answer your 4 questions? 5 Α I'm trying to answer your questions, yes, sir. 6 MR. BIERSTEKER: That was entirely 7 responsive. 8 Q (By Mr. Holford) My question here right now is ---9 MR. BIERSTEKER: Compound, vague and 10 ambiquous. 11 Q --- in your professional opinion, does the nicotine 12 in a cigarette have any effect on the body of a 13 person who smokes it? If you don't know, just say, 14 "I don't know." 15 The question has been asked MR. DAVID: 16 and answered. 17 Α It isn't just a simple I don't know because what I'm 18 trying to help you understand is the area I can talk 19 about is the psychological effects of smoking, which 20 does indeed contain some amount of nicotine, has on 21 I can't separate those two out. the person. 22 MR. HOLFORD: Yeah, and I move to strike 23 the response to this question and the preceding 24 question, which was similar, on the basis of 25 nonresponsiveness.

	1	
1		MR. DAVID: Object to the motion.
2		MR. RILEY: Could we take a break, Doug?
3		MR. HOLFORD: Well, I would like to
4		finish this. It's just five til 10.
5		MR. DAVID: We've been going an hour.
6		MR. HOLFORD: Well, on an hour, we can
7		take a break.
8		MR. DAVID: It is an hour. Let's take a
9		break.
10		MR. HOLFORD: No, it's five til 10.
11		It's not five after 10.
12		MR. DAVID: We're taking about a
13		five-minute break. Let's go.
14		MR. HOLFORD: Well, Mr. David, I'm
15		conducting this deposition, and I said at an hour we
16	<u> </u> 	can take a break.
17	į	MR. DAVID: We are taking a break, Doug.
18		MR. HOLFORD: Okay.
19	Q	(By Mr. Holford) Dr. Phelan
20		MR. DAVID: Doctor, we're taking a
21		break.
22	Q	Are you taking a break, too, Dr. Phelan?
23	A	I guess so. I was wanting another cup of coffee
24		anyway.
25		MR. HOLFORD: All right.

1 (Recess - five minutes. 2 (By Mr. Holford) Now, in your opinion, you know a Q 3 lot about cigarette smoking. Right? 4 That is correct. Α 5 Okay, but in your opinion, there is no such thing as Q 6 nicotine dependence caused by cigarette smoking. Is 7 that right? 8 I do not believe it's a dependent or addiction kind Α 9 of thing. I believe it's a bad habit. 10 Then my statement is correct. Right? Q 11 opinion, there is no such thing as nicotine 12 dependence caused by cigarette smoking. Is that 13 right? 14 I'm not going to say. I'm not going to say. Α 15 don't know whether there could be or there couldn't 16 I don't happen to believe it. 17 0 All right, in your opinion, there's no such thing as 18 nicotine addiction caused by cigarette smoking. 19 Right? 20 Α That is correct. I do not believe it is an 21 I believe it is a habit. addiction. 22 Q And in fact you've never testified under oath 23 anywhere regarding nicotine dependence or nicotine 24 addiction caused by cigarette smoking. Is that 25 right?

- 1 No, because I don't believe it's a dependent or an Α 2 addiction. 3
- My statement is correct. Right? Q
- 4 That is correct. Α

8

9

10

21

- 5 And as a consulting expert, you've never given 0 6 opinion on nicotine addiction or dependence caused 7 by cigarette smoking. Right?
  - Α I can't give an opinion on something I don't I don't believe that it is an addiction. I believe it's a dirty habit.
- 11 And when you say "believe" there, you're saying that Q 12 is your professional opinion?
- 13 Α That is my professional opinion, yes, sir.
- 14 Now, do you smoke? 0
- 15 Α I have been a smoker.
- 16 Q Have been. What was the date of your last 17 cigarettes ever - not exact date, but, you know -
- 18 Α I was going to say I can't give you the last date.
- 19 I was in my early thirties. So it's been awhile 20 ago.
  - But since that time in your early thirties, you've Q never smoked again?
- 23 Α I have never smoked again.
- 24 Were you already a psychologist at that time? 0
- 25 Α No, sir, I was not.

1 I mean, I'm not subtracting years or anything. Q So 2 what - where were you in life at that time that you 3 had your last cigarette ever? 4 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 5 question. 6 Α Where was I when I ---7 Q I don't mean where physically. I mean where in your 8 life were you? 9 Oh, I was a mother and a housewife. A 10 Q At the time you stopped, did you have young 11 children? 12 Α I had young children. 13 Q Were you in fact at the time you stopped early in 14 the pregnancy of another child? 15 Α No, sir. 16 Q Did you smoke through your pregnancies? 17 Yes, sir. A 18 Q After your children were born, did you come to 19 believe that smoking was not good for their young 20 bodies? 21 No, sir. Α 22 Q All right, at what age did you first use any tobacco 23 product? 24 Α I was 13. 25 0 You remember that pretty well?

1 A You bet. We learned out in the graveyard sitting on 2 tombstones. 3 Where was that? Q 4 Α That was in Florida at that time. 5 0 Where in Florida? 6 Α My mother was working at Florida State University, 7 and that's where I was. 8 Q Jacksonville? 9 Α No, that's Tallahassee. 10 Q Tallahassee, okay. Before that tobacco use, did you 11 use any corn silk or other products ---12 MR. RILEY: Object to the form. 13 Q --- other than tobacco, I mean? Did you smoke any? 14 MR. RILEY: Same objection. 15 I have a remembrance of us trying some corn silk and Α 16 stuff. 17 Q Cedar bark maybe? 18 No, I just remember kind of corn silk. Α 19 Somebody said cedar bark in a previous deposition. Q 20 All right, but at age 13 was that first 21 tobacco a cigarette? 22 Α Yes, sir. 23 Q Do you remember the brand? 24 You betcha, Lucky Strike. That's all I ever smoked. Α 25 Q That's about 1940. Is that right?

	1	
1	A	I don't remember the year. I think it was a little
2		earlier than that maybe, or maybe it was '40 I
3		don't know '40, '41.
4	Q	You said born in 1927 and
5	A	Right, November.
6	Q	then 13 years would make '40 or '41?
7	A	Somewhere in there. I know it was close to the
8		beginning of World War II.
9	Q	It was also the time of the Lucky Strike Hit Parade,
10		wasn't it?
11	A	Oh, yeah.
12	Q	Did that - did you used to listen to the Lucky
13		Strike Hit Parade?
14	A	Sure.
15	Q	You saw them smoking on there and talking about
16		smoking?
17	A	I was going to say I didn't see anything.
18		MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the
19		question.
20	Q	Oh, this was radio, wasn't it?
21	A	I'm sorry. There was no television in my day.
22	Q	Yeah, yeah. So you just heard them encouraging
23		smoking. Right?
24		MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form
25		MR. DAVID: Object to the form of the

i		
1		question.
2	Q	(By Mr. Holford) Well, did they encourage smoking?
3	A	They advertised cigarettes is what I was going to
4		say I heard.
5	Q	All right, they advertised the cigarettes to smoke.
6		Right?
7	A	Yes, sir, yes, sir.
8	Q	So would you say that they were encouraging smoking?
9		MR. DAVID: I object to the form of the
10		question.
11		MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the
12		question.
13		MR. DAVID: Asking the witness to
14		characterize what was in somebody else's
15		MR. HOLFORD: All right, I'll accept
16		that.
17	Q	(By Mr. Holford) Did Lucky Strike Hit Parade
18		encourage your use of cigarettes, Lucky Strike?
19	A	No, sir.
20		MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the
21		question.
22		MR. HOLFORD: Okay.
23	Q	(By Mr. Holford) You liked the program?
24	A	Sure, loved the music.
25	Q	And was there any reason in particular that you

1 chose Lucky Strike to start smoking? 2 I can't recall why it was Lucky Strike. I kind of Α 3 have a vaque remembrance of one of the guys -- we're 4 all sitting out in this graveyard, you know, doing this devilment, and I remember one of the guys had a 5 6 pack of Luckies, and I think that's why we started 7 with Lucky Strikes. It was the kid who was able to 8 get some from his home. So you're testifying that - with the aid of your 9 0 10 training as a psychologist up to now, you're 11 testifying that the Lucky Strike Hit Parade and 12 cigarette advertising in general back at that time 13 had no part in causing you to try cigarettes? 14 Absolutely not. Α All right. Now, after that first use, how did your 15 Q 16 amount of smoking progress? 17 Α We would try it, you know. We would try it all the time. We thought we were being very sophisticated, 18 19 grown up and daring. So every chance we got, we'd 20 all go smoking. 21 Typical pattern. Q Right? 22 MR. DAVID: I object to the form. 23 MR. RILEY: Object to the form.

(By Mr. Holford) From what you saw in your youth,

Typical for me.

24

25

Α

Q

1 you were just a typical young smoker? 2 MR. RILEY: Object to the form. 3 Q Right? 4 Α Certainly I was no different than my peers. 5 Q Right, okay. At some point did you -- did you 6 continue smoking Lucky Strikes? 7 Α Never smoked anything else. 8 Q And at some point did you reach a leveling-off 9 amount? 10 Α Well, I was a heavy smoker. I smoked - all those 11 years I smoked anywhere from two to three packs of 12 cigarettes a day. 13 Well, at what age did you reach the two to three Q 14 packs a day? 15 Oh, I was smoking that much by the time I was 15. Α 16 Q Now, and then -- I'm sorry. Can you now recall from 17 age 13 about what age you were when you stopped? 18 Α Well, I have a remembrance of being in my early 19 thirties. 20 Q Early thirties? 21 Α So about 20 years later. Eighteen or 20 years later 22 is when I stopped. 23 Q Did you make more than one attempt to stop? 24 Α Nope, loved them, never tried. 25 0 At the time you - so just on one day you just had

1		your last cigarette, and that was it?
2	A	That's it. I laid that fresh carton on the table
3		and never touched another one.
4	Q	At that time, had you been diagnosed with any
5		adverse effect of smoking?
6	A	No, I was suffering from a bad cough.
7	Q	And you didn't have any doctor take - did you have
8		any X-rays taken at that time that you had that
9		cough?
10	A	I don't remember any being taken. I've had X-rays.
11		I just don't recall them being taken for that
12		particular cough.
13	Q	All right, how long had you had that cough before
14		you quit?
15	A	Quite awhile.
16	Q	Was that cough that you were experiencing at the
17		time you quit any different from what's commonly
18		called a smoker's cough?
19		MR. DAVID: I object to the form of the
20		question.
21		MR. HOLFORD: I'll back up.
22	Q	Is there such a thing as a smoker's cough?
23	A	I've certainly heard that.
24	Q	Do you know what that is?
25	A	Yes, sir.

1 Was the cough that you were experiencing when you 0 2 quit more severe than a smoker's cough? 3 MR. DAVID: I am just going to object to 4 the form. 5 Α I don't know whether it was - you know, I don't know 6 how it contrasted with someone else. It was my 7 experience it was a bad cough, and I had had them 8 before. 9 0 You mean did you have that bad cough continuously 10 before the time you quit? 11 Α I had had that bad cough several times in my life. 12 This time it seemed to hang on longer. 13 All right, so the cough you had at the time you quit Q 14 - is that what made you quit? 15 No, sir. Α 16 0 What made you quit? 17 MR. RILEY: Object to the form. 18 Α The reason I decided to quit was I had been reading 19 some stories in the Reader's Digest. You know, the 20 stuff about the risk of smoking and other illnesses 21 began to come out in the '50s, and I had been 22 reading those, and finally one day I read this 23 article -- and it just kind of grabbed me -- in the 24 Reader's Digest, and I thought "I'm not going to do 25 this anymore."

1 I had a brand-new carton of cigarettes I 2 I plunked that carton of Lucky Strikes just bought. 3 on my kitchen table and said, "I'm never going to 4 have another one," and I never did. 5 Q Do you recall the Reader's Digest story, Cancer by 6 the Carton? 7 Α I don't remember the title. I was trying to 8 remember, and I just flat don't remember the title. 9 There were several in the book that - in the 10 Reader's Digest book that I had been reading, but 11 this was like the coup de grace. This was the one 12 that just tipped the scales, and I said, "That's 13 it." 14 Well, what you were reading then was a compilation Q 15 of Reader's Digest stories? 16 Α Well, we got Reader's Digest. In those days, it was 17 a very popular magazine and particularly in military 18 families. We got the Reader's Digest. I had been 19 reading stories in the Reader's Digest since, I 20 guess, about the early '50s or certainly by the 21 middle '50s, and this was just one that finally was 22 the coup de grace that I said, "That's it." 23 Q You were in a military family?

Now, before this time in the early or mid '50s, had

Yes, sir.

24

25

Α

Q

you ever seen such an anti-smoking story before?

MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form.

MR. RILEY: Object to the form.

2.3

- A I had certainly had both in school and other places heard about the dangers of smoking. I had heard about it in school as early as the eighth grade because we had some health science projects that came in and talked to us with pickled lungs in jars and all that stuff, but I didn't begin reading the stories in the Reader's Digest until the '50s. It was after World War II. I can't give you pinpoint you days, but my memory is from about '51 or '2 on, the Reader's Digest began to be filled with stories.
- Q Well, do I gather that until the middle or early '50s when you saw these stories in the Reader's Digest you hadn't seen anything before that made you quit. Right?
- A I had seen things. I had never decided to quit until those until I finally read that last story that really hit my mind.
- Q Well, what I mean is the instances of mention of the risks of smoking in school, the - anything you had seen in the paper or magazines or anything. Before the mid '50s, none of those ever caused you to attempt to quit. Is that right?

- A That is correct. I was a kid. I was invincible. I liked smoking. I didn't believe it would happen to me.
  - Q All right, now, what -- start over.

5 How old were your children at this time in 6 your early thirties?

- A Let's see. The boys were in school, and the little girl wasn't. I can't give you ages right now, but they would have -- I guess the one boy was in school. The other may have been in kindergarten, and the little girl was not in school yet.
- 12 Q Three to seven range, like that?
- 13 A Yeah, or eight, yeah.
- 14 | Q All right, you had two boys and a girl?
- 15 A Yes, sir.

4

7

8

9

10

- 16 Q And what was your educational achievement at that
  17 time?
- A I was a high school graduate, and I had had one year off at school, and then, you know, it was wartime.
- I went off and got married at 17 and had babies.
- 21 Q So you completed college after this time?
- 22 A Yes, sir. I didn't go to college until I was in my 23 thirties.
- Q Do you have your CV?
- 25 A Yes, sir, I brought it.

	ľ	
1		MR. HOLFORD: Mark this as Exhibit 1.
2		(Phelan Exhibit No. 1 marked for
3		identification.
4	Q	(By Mr. Holford) What the reporter has marked as
5		Exhibit 1 to this deposition - is that your
6		curriculum vita?
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q	We'll call it CV for short?
9	A	Yes, sir.
10	Q	Is your CV, Exhibit 1, complete?
11	A	It's not quite up to date. There are a couple of
12		things I've been to since for training that aren't
13		on there that are recent, but it's mostly up to
14		date.
15	Q	So the only things missing on Exhibit 1 are some
16		trainings you have been to?
17	A	Yes, sir, there has been about three recently that
18		I've attended, and I know they're not on there.
19	Q	What were those trainings about?
20	A	One was the use of the MMPI in forensic work.
21	Q	What is MMPI?
22	A	That's the Minnesota Multiphasic Personality
23		Inventory and its use in forensic work.
24	Q	Forensic meaning for court testimony?
25	A	Yes, yes. Forensic psychology is that branch of

1 psychology that deals with the psychology of 2 jurisprudence or the science of law. 3 All right, what was the next one? Q 4 Α The other one I went to was a program on forensic 5 work that had to do with children and the interface 6 between the legal profession and the psychology 7 profession, was put on by the institute - put on by the law school in Miami and the forensic 8 9 psychologists in Florida. 10 That again addressed forensic issues? Q 11 That addressed the issues of children in Α 12 relationship to forensic issues of all types. All right, the last one? 13 Q 14 Α The last one was the treatment of personality 15 disorders that dealt with mostly borderline and 16 histrionic and narcissistic personality disorders, 17 and that was in San Antonio. 18 Q Now, your CV, Exhibit 1 - is it all true? 19 Α Yes, sir. 20 Has any of the studies or other work described in 0 21 your CV, Exhibit 1, involved tobacco use? 22 Α Are you asking if I've published anything? Is that 23 what you mean? 24 Well, you know what's in your CV. Q Right? 25 Α Yes, sir, yes, sir.

- Q So I'm only asking about things described in your CV.
  - A Okay.

- Q Everything you've published is shown in your CV, isn't it?
  - A Yes, sir, yes, sir. I was going to say I have not broken it down to specific things I've covered like borderline personality, smoking, drinking or any of that. I've talked about my employment history and kind of where I've worked, and I've talked about teaching experience, and I've talked about training and organizations I belong to, but I haven't broken it down into, you know, specific details except probably more around substance abuse than anything else.
  - Q Okay, so has any of the articles, studies or other published works or unpublished works that are described in your CV, Exhibit 1, involved tobacco use as a point of discussion?

MR. DAVID: I object to the form of the question. It's vague and ambiguous in terms of what you mean by "works" and in terms of what you mean by the term "involved."

A I don't have any publications in here that directly address tobacco.

1 0 (By Mr. Holford) All right, thank you. So I - it's 2 true then that none of the articles or - published 3 or unpublished materials that are described in your 4 CV, Exhibit 1, involve nicotine dependence or 5 addiction? 6 MR. DAVID: Same ---7 Q Right? 8 MR. DAVID: Same objection as to the 9 prior question, and additionally it assumes facts 10 not in evidence, that is, that there is such a thing 11 as nicotine dependence or addiction.

- A Again, I was going to say I don't believe that. So, you know, I see it as a bad, dirty habit.
- Q (By Mr. Holford) It's pretty clear then that your CV, Exhibit 1, doesn't have anything in it that discusses it. Right?
- A That's right. I have a lot in here that discusses that dealt with substance abuse and addictions
  related to that.
- Q Oh, all right. What addictions and substance abuse have your works described in Exhibit 1 discussed?
- A Primarily my issues have been related to alcohol, although there certainly we treated many people who are also drug addicted, but we treated more who are alcoholics.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Q All right, so you've written on alcohol addiction? 2 Α The substance - I've written mostly on alcohol and 3 also -- well, maybe it's just helpful if I kind of 4 go through it. 5 Q We're just on alcohol right now, Dr. Phelan. 6 Α Okay. 7 I want to be fair. I asked if you had written on 0 8 alcohol addiction. 9 Α Well, I was trying to remember what I had said about 10 alcohol. 11 Q That's all right. Refer to Exhibit 1, yes. 12 Α Thank you. What I talked about a lot in here was 13 more that - had to do with a whole variety of 14 The stuff with alcohol in here was alcohol 15 treatment, the totality of it, as opposed to 16 specifically the addictive problems or any of that. 17 Q Well, in your experience in treating alcohol, is it 18 your opinion that you've had to deal with an 19 addiction to alcohol? 20 MR. RILEY: Object to the form. 21 Have I dealt with people who were ---A 22 0 Addicted to alcohol.

That showed some alcohol dependency or addiction,

whatever phrase you want to use?

23

24

25

Α

Q

Yes.

- 1 Α I have certainly dealt with many, many people who 2 had to be detoxed from alcohol. 3 That's because they were addicted to or dependent on Q 4 the alcohol. Right? 5 That's because they certainly were toxic to alcohol. Α 6 Q Well, toxicity is not the same as addiction or 7 dependence, is it? 8 That's right. Α 9 So, again, what I'm asking -- and let's just go Q 10 beyond your CV. 11 Okay. Α 12 0 Have you treated patients for alcohol addiction or 13 dependence? 14 Absolutely. Α 15 0 All right, and is it - does everyone who uses 16 alcohol become addicted to it? 17 Α Well, there's debate on that. There's a lot of 18 debate if you used it long enough whether you would 19 become dependent on it or not. Certainly there are 20 people who see themselves as dependent upon alcohol
  - Q Then there are those people who really are addicted.

    They don't just see themselves as but they are
    addicted to alcohol. Is that a distinction you
    make?

and who need help in recovery.

21

22

23

24

- A I certainly see people who have such a serious dependency on alcohol through the years that I think they burned out so many gray cells up there that, yes, sir, I think they probably have lost the ability to make a free choice.
  - Q Their use of it is then compulsive. It controls them. Right?
  - A It controls them as opposed to them controlling it.

    However, even there, it does not control their

    ability to make a choice to get help because I had a

    guy that was admitted to my program 58 times, and

    the fifty-eighth time he finally decided maybe he

    wanted to sober up. We sobered him up.
- Q You based that on what he told you. Right?
- A Based what on what he told me?

- Q That he made his own decision to come in for help and wanted to sober up. You base that on what he told you. Right?
  - A No, no, I based it on he had been there 58 times.

    We know what he had been saying and doing, and the fifty-eighth time he finally got the message. He said, "Yeah, I got a I got to do something here and sober up."
  - Q How do you know on his fifty-eighth visit in that that time he got the message? How do you know that

now?

- A Because he hadn't gotten the message any of those other times. He had always said, "I don't intend to drink you can bring me in here and put me in this program, but I don't intend to stop drinking. I love drinking," and he would get drunk by the time he got out to the first bar on the street.
- Q And on the fifty-eighth time, he came in, and it was different. He said, "Dr. Phelan, this time I want to stop drinking." Right?
- A There was an incident occurred that made him finally see that he had to do something about his drinking, yes, sir.
- Q So the only way you know that his fifty-eighth time was different than the 57 times before on his coming in was that he told you that this was different. He wanted to stop this time. Right?
- A Sure, and we used to see him in AA. I mean ---
- Q What was the incident that caused him to come in on that fifty-eighth time?
- A He got committed. He was always getting committed. He didn't come because he wanted to. He got committed, but he came in, and he pulled a gun on me, and it was me and him in a big room with him pulling a gun on me drunk as a lord.

Q This was that fifty-eighth time?

- A Yeah, and I talked him down. I made him give me the gun and took the gun away from him, and when I got him detoxed and I talked to him, he was horrified because he was very fond of me. He wouldn't have done anything to hurt me, and he was about to kill me, and so he decided -- that was the board between the eyes. We talk about it in substance abuse. You got to get the board between the eyes to get somebody's attention before they decide they want to get better. That was the board between the eyes because he wouldn't have killed me for anything, and he could have very easily.
- Q Board between the meaning getting hit with a two-by-four?
- A That's what we say about alcoholics. That's an old expression. Yeah, we have to do something to get their attention, and it's the board between the eyes, and that incident was the board between his eyes. He would not have done anything to kill me. Here he was drunk and about to.
- Q You're saying that, in your experience of dealing with people addicted to alcohol, it has taken some board between the eyes to get them finally to take on quitting. Right?

- A Not always, but generally they have to have some reason. They're losing a job, or they're losing their families, or they've had two or three DWIs, or they kill somebody. Yeah, it takes ---
  - Q Or they've been diagnosed with cirrhosis of the liver?
  - A That will do it. That will do it.

- Q Now, Dr. Phelan, is there such a thing as a genetically determined alcoholic?
- A Well, some people believe there is. Certainly we see it in families. There's still great debate on whether it's a predisposition towards developing alcoholism or if it's learned behavior or if it's both. Certainly if you don't drink, even if everybody in your family was an alcoholic, you're not an alcoholic. So it depends on whether or not you make the decision that you're going to drink.

I think, probably like everything else, we have a propensity towards developing substance abuse if it's in our families, just like a propensity towards developing diabetes. So probably -- I tell young people, "If you've got it in your family, then you probably ought to be real careful about drinking."

Q Now, you mentioned other drugs that you've had

1 addiction issues in? 2 Α Uh-huh. (Yes) 3 Q What drugs are those? 4 Α Well, every street drug there was. We got into the 5 program down in San Antone. I mean, we had gasoline 6 sniffers, glue sniffers, heroin addicts and 7 prescription drug addicts, and we had cocaine 8 addicts. We had pot. There was nothing we didn't 9 have. Whatever is out there on the street - we had 10 it. 11 So in your opinion, Dr. Phelan, there is such a 0 thing as heroin addiction? 12 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 0 And there is such a thing as cocaine addiction? 15 Α People believe that, yes. 16 Well, I said in your opinion. Q 17 Well ---Α 18 0 In your opinion, is there such a thing as cocaine 19 addiction? 20 I think that people can get psychologically Α 21 dependent and addicted to all these substances, yes. 22 I think there is some debate about whether or not 23 it's a physiological dependency. I think you can 24 still find some debate on that because there were so 25 many people who used cocaine at the turn of the

century in this country who were not addicted to it 1 2 and got off of it with apparently not a lot of 3 problems. 4 Isn't the form - isn't the purity of cocaine in use 0 5 today much higher than what they were ever able to 6 get at the turn of the century? 7 Α Well, I don't know that that's true because I don't 8 know that we analyzed the cocaine they got at the 9 turn of the century in this country as well as we -10 with the same instruments that we analyze today, but 11 what I - what I do know is I suspect some of the 12 more manufactured stuff, the crack cocaine, et 13 cetera, may have highly addictive processes. 14 That is in common use on the street today, in other 0 15 words? 16 Α Sure, you can buy it out there. 17 0 Are these criteria for drug dependence or addiction 18 -- I'm going to start over. 19 Are these primary criteria for drug 20 dependence or addiction highly controlled or 21 compulsive use, psychoactive effects and 22 drug-reinforced behavior? 23 Α May I ---24 MR. DAVID: Object to the form of the 25 question?

1	A	May I see that so I can just kind of see? Do you
2		have the DSM III there?
3	Q	Since my memory isn't that good, Dr. Phelan, I am
4		reading from a document here.
5	A	Excuse me.
6	Q	But I wish to just ask you, in your experience and
7		professional opinion, are those primary criteria of
8		drug dependence? Do you want me to repeat them?
9	A	Yeah, would you, please.
10		MR. DAVID: Just a second. I just want
11		to object because if you are reading from a
12		document, I think the witness is entitled to see the
13		document that you're reading from.
14		MR. HOLFORD: Well, I asked that of Mr.
15		Riley in a previous deposition.
16		MR. DAVID: Or to know what the document
17		is.
18		MR. HOLFORD: And he wouldn't show me,
19		okay, and that's on record. I think you're talking
20		about a witness referring to a document having to
21		show what the document is.
22		MR. DAVID: I stay with my objection.
23		MR. HOLFORD: All right.
24		MR. DAVID: You can go ahead.
25	Q	(By Mr. Holford) Now, are these primary criteria
;		

for drug dependence or addiction highly controlled 1 or compulsive use, psychoactive effects? 2 What do you mean by that? Can you give - can you 3 Α define that, psychoactive effects? 4 All right, do you have a definition for it, Dr. 5 Q Phelan? 6 You used it. I don't know what you mean by it. 7 Α I'll ask you what - in your professional opinion and 8 0 experience, what does the term "psychoactive 9 10 effects" mean? I don't know - I don't know what they mean by that. 11 A Do you know what the term "drug-reinforced behavior" 12 Q 13 means? 14 Yes, sir. Α What does that mean? 15 Q That means you like it, and you do it again. 16 Α 17 Oh, okay. So in your opinion, drug-reinforced 0 behavior simply means a freewill exercise, choice of 18 the individual based on whether they like it or not. 19 20 Is that right? I believe that's why most people start using 21 Α substances that are mind altering in some way. 22 believe that they like it. They like the effect. 23 They enjoy it, and that's why they start using it. 24 So is my statement correct? 25 Q

1 MR. DAVID: Which one? 2 MR. RILEY: Which statement? 3 MR. HOLFORD: My last - read my last one 4 back. 5 "Is my statement correct?" MR. DAVID: 6 Α I thought you had something else in it. That's why 7 I had to qualify it. 8 MR. HOLFORD: All right, let's read it 9 back. 10 (The last question was read by 11 the reporter. 12 Α My answer is I believe that when mostly young people 13 start out using substances they do it because they 14 enjoy the effect that the substance has on their 15 performance of some kind or other, and they enjoy 16 the experience of being high. 17 (By Mr. Holford) So in your opinion, is Q 18 drug-reinforced behavior simply the freewill 19 exercise of the individual to use the product 20 because they enjoy using it? 21 It's not that simple. I say when young people start Α 22 out using these mind-altering chemicals, it is 23 because they enjoy the effect of those chemicals on 24 their feelings, on the way they're behaving. They 25 think they are - they can be much more sophisticated and bon vivant if they are using those substances.

As you go through time, some changes seem to occur so that out here the 60 year old person still using the chemicals is having a very different - is using them for a very different reason than the young guy over here at 16 who tries them.

- Q Then certainly the person who has just started using a substance isn't yet dependent or addicted on it.

  Right?
- A He may be psychologically addicted in terms of he feels better. He feels more sophisticated.

  Certainly that's why we all smoked. We felt like big shots. We were grown-ups.
- Q As kids you mean?
- A As kids, absolutely. We wanted to be grown up as quick as we could, and grown-ups smoked, and that's why we smoked. So that's why we drank. That's why everybody tried beer and all that good stuff when they were 16, 17, 18.
- Q Okay, I guess let me define it a little better.
- 21 A Okay.

- Q When a person first picks up some substance, I mean, before they've even used it, they're certainly not addicted or dependent yet. Right?
- 25 A That is correct.

But after some period of use, that person can become 1 0 dependent or addicted if it's a mind-altering 2 substance. Right? 3 I object to the form of the MR. DAVID: 4 I think it's a - I think it's an 5 question. incomplete hypothetical. 6 7 Is that right? Q Certainly there are those who believe what you just 8 Α 9 said. Well, do you believe it? 10 Q I think that ---11 Α MR. DAVID: I am going to object to the 12 form of the question. It's an incomplete 13 14 hypothetical. MR. HOLFORD: You can say same 15 16 objection. If I can remember it, I believe that there are a lot 17 Α of people who believe that. I certainly have heard 18 folks tell me that from the first they felt they had 19 Now, I think 20 to have that substance. I think that 21 physiologically that wasn't true. they've found such pleasure in the effect of the 22 substance on them that they wanted to have more. 23 (By Mr. Holford) Do you know the physiological 24 Q effect of cocaine on the human body? 25

1 Α Well, I certainly can't speak to that as a medical 2 I can certainly tell you the behavioral aspects of cocaine on people. I can talk about the 3 psychology of people who use cocaine, but I cannot 4 tell you the physiological effects because, again, I 5 6 think that's a medical issue. 7 Now, let's take heroin. You do agree that heroin is 0 8 an addicting substance. Right? 9 A I certainly agree that heroin can become an 10 addictive substance, you bet. 11 Q That is after some period of use of the substance a 12 person will become addicted to using it, the heroin. 13 Right? 14 MR. RILEY: Object to the form. 15 I think that's probably true in most cases after a Α 16 continued usage of it over long periods of time. 17 Q All right. Now, do you conclude that such a user 18 who has become addicted on heroin is addicted 19 because they show a highly controlled or compulsive 20 use, the heroin has psychoactive effects on them, 21 and they exhibit a drug-reinforced behavior? 22 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of 23 the question. 24 That's not my criteria. So that isn't the way I use Α

Okay.

it.

25

- Q All right, what are your criteria for heroin addiction?
  - A Well, I don't talk about -- see, I don't want to get hung up on the term "addictions." I talk about, look -- and this is exactly the way I talk to my druggies and my alcoholics.
  - Q Talk about what? I didn't get that.

A I said I don't want to talk about addictions and get hung up on these definitions. I say, "Look, if you've got a problem, if it's interfering with your health, if it's interfering with your job, if it's interfering with your wife and family, if you've got three or four DWIs, it's interfering with your health, then you got a problem, and you need to do something about it."

I don't care whether you call it addiction, dependency or anything else. It doesn't matter. If it's a problem in any of those areas and it's impairing those areas of your life, you got a problem. You better do something about it.

- Q But, now, just how many years have you been a treating, licensed psychologist?
- A I have been licensed in Texas since 1975. I've been working with alcoholics since the middle '60s.
- 25 Q Now, so based on your experience and training, in

- your opinion, heroin is an addicting substance, but nicotine is not. Right?
  - A That is correct.

- Q So that's why I wanted to talk about to you about heroin, understanding that you don't discuss heroin addiction with your patients who you're treating for heroin use?
- A I don't even talk in those terms.
- Q Right. Nonetheless, do you have have you formed, in your professional opinion, primary criteria for defining whether or not a patient of yours is addicted to heroin?
- A If they're having withdrawal symptoms that are severe, I certainly think they need medical help, but that doesn't have anything to do with a criteria for getting them into treatment. I would get a heroin addict into treatment because it's against the law, and you're going to end up in a Texas prison, and who wants to be over in Huntsville for a long time, and I would also want to get them in treatment because in order to get the drugs, you know they're going to commit a lot of crimes to do that.

So my issue is not that they've come in and I want them there because of a dependency problem.

My issue is they're there because it is really causing some problems in their lives. Now, if they are using on a really frequent basis, they may have to be detoxed and have some medical help because there are some severe withdrawal symptoms.

- Q Do you make the decision whether to detox a patient that is using heroin on the basis of whether you consider them addicted or not?
- A No, I use it on in terms of knowing how frequent their use has been, what that's going to mean in terms of detoxing.

When we would get patients in the program, we would say, "Okay, what have you been using? How much have you been using? When did you have your last fix?" From that we're going to know whether or not this person needs some medical treatment. Someone who comes in and says, "I've been drinking, you know, a quart of wine a day" ---

- Q We're talking about heroin now.
- A All right. Well, someone who comes in and says, "I have been shooting up. I just shot up about five hours ago, and the shot before that was such and such a time" and so on and so forth, I'm going to send him over to the medical unit and let him get some detox because he's going to come down hard.

- 1 Q In your opinion, Dr. Phelan, are your patients that
  2 you have sent over for detox or other medical
  3 treatment for use of heroin have they been
  4 addicted to heroin?
  - A Again, I don't even see, I don't even talk it's like you're talking to me in a language I don't talk. I know what you're getting at, but I don't even think that way. I think of the fact that they have a drug problem, and that's what we've got to treat them for, and at this point in time, they're coming down, and they need some medical help.
  - Q Well, let me back up. Do you agree that there is such a thing as heroin addiction?
  - A Sure, I think people can get addicted to heroin.
- 15 Q How do you define when a person is addicted to heroin?
  - A I don't try to. I mean, I don't try to. It's not the way I think, or it's not the way that I deal with drug or alcoholics. I just don't think in those terms.
  - Q You understand I'm not asking about what you've done any time in the past. Right?
  - A Uh-huh. (Yes)

Q Dr. Phelan, can you define any criteria for whether or not a person is addicted to heroin?

1 MR. RILEY: Asked and answered. 2 Α I think I did. See, you're asking me to talk a 3 language I don't talk. It's like asking me to speak 4 I don't speak that way. I talk in a in Greek. 5 different language. I have a - I have a treatment 6 focus, and so I'm dealing with it only in that 7 perspective. 8 So is it true that, as you sit there, you cannot Q 9 define any criteria for whether a person is addicted 10 to heroin? 11 MR. DAVID: Object to the form of the 12 question. 13 MR. RILEY: Object to form. 14 Α You know --15 MR. DAVID: Asked and answered, too. 16 Α --- I think that it would depend a lot on each 17 individual case. I think these are individual 18 patients, and I have to approach each one of them in 19 an individual way to say whether or not I feel they 20 have a real addictive kind of problem. 21 Q (By Mr. Holford) So in the past in treatment of 22 your patients, in some instances, you have come to the opinion that a patient had - that was a user of 23 24 heroin had an addiction problem? 25 Α Sure. I've had patients come to me and say they're

1 addicted to heroin. 2 That doesn't mean they are, does it? 0 3 Α Well, some of them I believed. I think they were 4 real honest old characters, you know, and they'd 5 come say, "Look, I know I'm addicted to it. I want 6 off of it. I want help." So we help them. That's 7 why we're there. 8 0 Can you, as you sit there now, define any common 9 criteria among these different patients that you've had that were addicted to heroin? 10 11 Α Well, where I worked, they were mostly Hispanic, 12 some black but mostly Hispanic, and they were mostly 13 lower socioeconomic upbringing. They may have made 14 a lot of money running drugs, but they were mostly 15 lower socioeconomic status and usually not very well 16 educated. So they were -- you know, they're from 17 San Antonio. They were mostly Hispanics from San 18 Antonio, and they've been in it a long time. 19 Q Well, do you think that heroin addiction is - that a 20 factor for heroin addiction is Hispanic gender? 21 MR. BIERSTEKER: That's not what she 22 said. 23 No, ethnicity? Q 24 Doug, in all fairness to the MR. ALLEN: 25 witness, your exact question was, "Have you seen

some common characteristics," and so what she was 1 2 telling you is whom she has treated. Then to state what she said and try to turn it into something 3 different is unfair. 4 MR. HOLFORD: It's a question ---5 MR. ALLEN: That's unfair. 6 7 0 (By Mr. Holford) Dr. Phelan, is being of Hispanic origin a factor in becoming addicted to heroin? 8 9 Oh, no, no, I'm not saying that you have to be Α 10 Hispanic to be a heroin addict, no, no. I'm just telling you the people that I treated and saw in San 1.1 12 Antonio in that program. We didn't see that kind in 13 the program here in Austin. We had a very different 14 kind of clientele. Because San Antonio then and now, unlike Austin then 15 Q and now, is predominantly people of Hispanic origin? 16 Well, about 51 percent at that time, and certainly 17 Α 18 they were people who had little resources that I 19 saw. 20 Is lower socioeconomic condition a factor in drug 0 21 use and maintenance of use? 22 MR. ALLEN: Object to the form of the 23 question. I don't think any more that it is. However, it 24 Α certainly has a strong hold on lower socioeconomic 25

classes of people of all kinds, whether Hispanic, 1 black or white or green or pink. It certainly is 2 3 more prevalent in poor areas. Okay. Now, so you don't agree that highly 4 Q controlled or compulsive use, psychoactive effects 5 and drug-reinforced behavior are valid primary 6 factors for drug dependence. 7 I don't know if ---8 Α MR. ALLEN: Object to the form. 9 10 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of 11 the question. You don't know whether they are or not? 12 Q I'm not quite sure what they mean. See, that's why 13 Α I was asking you if you could define some of that 14 for me because I just don't know quite what all that 15 16 It may be a great definition; it may not be. It's just real unclear to me what they're talking 17 18 about. 19 Q Well, do you know what highly controlled or 20 compulsive use means? I'm assuming they mean that people use it very 21 Α 22 frequently. Well, does a very frequent use always mean a 23 0 24 compulsive use? Well, just a second. Ι 25 MR. DAVID:

1 object to the questioning now because if you're 2 asking Dr. Phelan what whomever authored whatever 3 document you are looking at means versus what she would mean if she were using those terms, I think 4 5 it's an objectionable question. 6 MR. HOLFORD: No, I'm asking Dr. Phelan, 7 as I think my question stated, what highly 8 controlled or compulsive use means. 9 MR. DAVID: To her - means to her ---10 MR. HOLFORD: Now, if she is going to 11 speak to anyone other than her, she will probably 12 say so. 13 I just want it straight on MR. DAVID: 14 I don't want there to be any the record. 15 misunderstanding there. 16 I was going to say I don't know what they mean. Α 17 That's why I was asking you for the definition. 18 MR. DAVID: That's right. 19 Α I am taking it to mean, as you read it to me, that 20 they're talking about that they use it frequently, 21 and they don't have a lot of control over it. 22 That's what I'm taking it ---23 MR. DAVID: Let me just object here for 24 a moment and say, Dr. Phelan, you're not obligated 25 to make assumptions here as to what anybody else

means with respect to what Mr. Holford is reading from, whatever Mr. Holford is reading from and secreting under the table. You are not obligated to take it - what they mean.

If Mr. Holford wants to ask you to assume what they mean is this as a hypothetical question or as a part of a hypothetical question, that's appropriate. Otherwise, Doug, your questions are objectionable.

MR. HOLFORD: And your remarks are uncalled for, Mr. David.

Q (By Mr. Holford) Did you mean anything other than what you understand ---

MR. DAVID: I will tell you, you know, you're right. I never thought that I'd have to come to a deposition and teach a class, but I guess I do.

MR. HOLFORD: That's insulting, Mr. David, and it's what is called uncivil and unprofessional. In this jurisdiction, those words have specific meaning, and if you don't know what they are, you ought to get local counsel to explain it to you.

MR. DAVID: And then I will ask you to treat the witness fairly, which you're not doing. You are not treating this witness fairly. You are

1		obligated to treat this witness fairly, and you're
2		not doing that by virtue of the kinds of questions
3		that you are asking right now.
4		MR. HOLFORD: Which are all on the
5		record, aren't they?
6		MR. DAVID: So treat the witness fairly.
7	Q	(By Mr. Holford) I have not asked you any questions
8		that are not on the record, have I, Doctor?
9	A	I assume not. This gentleman is taking everything
10		down.
11	Q	He looks very professional, doesn't he?
12	A	He looks like he's real good at his job.
13	Q	He's been doing it a long time, I know.
14		Now, Dr. Phelan, I've forgotten your last
15		answer.
16	A	I have forgotten the question.
17	Q	What does highly controlled - with regard to drug
18	<u>.</u>	dependence, in that field, in other words, what does
19		highly controlled or compulsive use mean to you?
20		MR. DAVID: To her?
21		MR. HOLFORD: Yeah.
22	A	Because I don't know what this guy means that's
23		why I was asking you or girl, whoever it was that
24		wrote that what they meant.
25		What I have interpreted that statement to

1 mean is that someone is using something on a 2 frequent basis without control over it. 3 (By Mr. Holford) And what to you does psychoactive Q 4 effects mean, if anything? 5 Α I don't know what they mean by that. I am not sure 6 at all about that. I really don't - I can't respond 7 to that. 8 If you think of it in terms of some material not 0 9 having any psychoactive effect, does that make any 10 sense? 11 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 12 question unless you can identify what material 13 you're talking about. 14 I asked her a question to MR. HOLFORD: 15 her mind, Mr. Riley. I don't know why you-all keep 16 referring to -- if I mean to ask her on what I'm 17 looking at here, I'll put it that way. 18 MR. RILEY: Doug, I didn't say anything 19 about what you're looking at. I objected to the 20 form, which I am entitled to do. 21 MR. HOLFORD: Okay. 22 Can you ask it again? I'm sorry. Α 23 0 (By Mr. Holford) Yes, ma'am. I mean, I am just a 24 lawyer. So I don't want to be suggesting ---25 Α I know.

1 Q --- about psychoactive. You don't have anything in 2 your field that deals with psychoactive? 3 Well, that was why I was asking you what they meant Α 4 I wanted it defined in that context. 5 you are wanting me to define what it means to me, 6 how I'm interpreting it ---7 Psychoactive effects, yes. Q 8 Α The best I can do is we talk about having - taking 9 something that reacts upon you in a psychoactive 10 way, for example, medication. If you took 11 antidepressants, it would have a psychoactive effect 12 on the person, or if you took the neuroleptics, they 13 would have a psychoanalytic effect upon that person. 14 So if that's what they mean ---15 Is that what you mean by psychoactive effects? Q 16 Α That's the way I'm interpreting that's what they 17 mean. 18 Q That's the way you're interpreting those words 19 "psychoactive effects"? 20 Α Yes, sir, yes, sir. 21 Q All right. Now, what meaning do you put on the 22 terms "drug-reinforced behavior"? 23 MR. RILEY: That's been asked and 24 answered. 25 Α The way I'm interpreting it again -- and this is my

1	interpretation of whoever wrote that statement is
2	that it's one of reinforcement. That's a learning
3	theory concept that what happens is you do some kind
4	of behavior. It brings about a beneficial effect,
5	and, therefore, you repeat that behavior.
6	Q Or if you're doing that behavior and then you lessen
7	or don't do it, you feel some effect of that?
8	MR. DAVID: Object to the form of the
9	question.
10	Q Is that included?
11	MR. DAVID: Object to the form of the
12	question. Is it included where?
13	MR. HOLFORD: In her thinking.
14	MR. DAVID: In her thinking versus
15	MR. HOLFORD: She's the one I'm
16	questioning, Mr. David.
17	MR. DAVID: In her thinking versus what
18	you are reading from?
19	MR. HOLFORD: And she's the one I'm
20	questioning. If I refer
21	MR. DAVID: In her thinking versus what
22	you're reading from?
23	MR. HOLFORD: If I mean to ask the
24	witness about the source that I'm looking at here,
25	it will be explicit in my question.
	•

1 MR. DAVID: I think I'm going to object. 2 I object to these constant MR. HOLFORD: 3 comments. 4 MR. DAVID: Well, I'm going to object because you are being exceedingly unfair to this 5 6 witness. 7 MR. HOLFORD: Well, while Dr. Phelan is 8 getting some water, you can assert unfairness, Mr. 9 David, and it's all asserted on account of how you 10 see the questions I've asked today. 11 MR. DAVID: No, it's asserted on the 12 basis of the fact that you are asking this witness 13 how she -- what you should be asking this witness is 14 what these words would mean to her, not how she is 15 interpreting what the individuals who wrote those 16 words meant to them. 17 MR. HOLFORD: My questions will - are on 18 the record as to exactly what I've asked. 19 MR. DAVID: And they're on the record as 20 reflecting what she thinks those words meant to 21 those people who wrote them. 22 MR. HOLFORD: Yeah, we'll see. 23 MR. DAVID: We'll see. 24 0 (By Mr. Holford) Dr. Phelan, we were on the term 25 "drug-reinforced behavior." In your mind, does that

1 term have anything to do with the drug being used 2 causing this repeated behavior that you've 3 described? 4 And I spoke of it in terms of learning because as a 5 psychologist I'm more comfortable with that. 6 I'm interpreting they must have meant, the person 7 who wrote that, was that the person's behavior was 8 reinforced by the drug usage. That's the way I am 9 interpreting it. 10 I will move to strike. MR. DAVID: 11 Q When you say, "That's the way I'm interpreting it," 12 that means that's your understanding of the term 13 "drug-reinforced behavior." Is that right? 14 Α Let me see. That's my attempt to say that's the -15 that's the interpretation I believe that person who 16 wrote that words - who put those words down meant. 17 That must be what they meant. I don't know. 18 Q You don't know what they meant? 19 Α No. 20 Q You're just saying what you mean. Right? 21 You're saying what you mean. Right? 22 I'm saying that's the way I'm interpreting what they Α 2.3 said. 24 Do you know, Dr. Phelan, if I'm reading from O 25 something here or if I'm just making it up?

1 Α I don't know. I would have to be interpreting it 2 whether you made it up or whether you are reading 3 from it or whatever. I'm having to interpret it. 4 Those aren't my words, and those are words from 5 somebody, and I'm having to interpret what they 6 meant. I'm trying to extrapolate it and interpret 7 what it means in words that I can understand. 8 Q The only way you can interpret it is from your own 9 Right? understanding. 10 I can put it into words that make it understandable Α 11 to me. That's the best I can do. 12 Q And that is what you've done ---13 Α That's what I ---14 --- on these terms. Is that right? Q 15 Α Excuse me; I'm sorry. That's what I've tried to do, 16 yes, sir. 17 Q Is that - have you given us the best effort you can? 18 I've tried to do - always try to do my best. Α 19 All right. Now, let's take the case of heroin 0 20 again. Applying -- now, let's back up. 21 Taking the case of heroin use, do these 22 terms, highly controlled or compulsive use,

psychoactive effects and drug-reinforced behavior as

you understand them - do they constitute primary

criteria for heroin addiction?

23

24

25

```
1
                        MR. DAVID: Object to the form of the
 2
           question.
 3
       Α
           I don't know.
 4
                        MR. DAVID: Is this a good time for a
           break?
 5
 6
                        MR. HOLFORD:
                                      Sure.
 7
                        MR. DAVID: Just take five minutes.
 8
                                (Recess - five minutes.
 9
       0
           (By Mr. Holford)
                              Dr. Phelan, is this the first case
10
           you've been retained by a tobacco entity on?
11
       Α
           Yes, sir.
12
                        MR. BIERSTEKER:
                                         Object to the form.
13
                        MR. RILEY: Object to the form.
14
           (By Mr. Holford)
                              Have you been retained by a
       0
15
           tobacco entity in this case?
16
                        MR. RILEY: Objection to the form.
17
                        MR. BIERSTEKER:
                                         Object to the form.
18
           I've been retained by attorneys.
       Α
19
           Well, do they have a tobacco entity client?
       Q
20
           I'm assuming of course that they represent a tobacco
       Α
21
           entity client.
22
           It would make sense, wouldn't it?
       Q
23
       Α
           It makes sense to me, but I was hired by attorneys.
24
           Who - what attorneys hired you?
       0
25
       Α
           The firm of Jones-Day.
```

1 Q Which is where we are today in Austin? 2 Α Yes, sir, yes, sir. 3 And was it a particular attorney? Q You know, I don't remember who the first ones I met 4 5 were. It's been some time ago. I just don't 6 remember who they were. 7 About when was it? 0 8 Α I don't recall that either. I spoke to them some 9 time ago for the first time. 10 Yes. Would it have been before 1990? Q 11 Α Yeah, I think so. 12 Q Well, was it in regard to this case or some other 13 case? 14 No, sir, no, sir, another. Α 15 Another case? Q 16 Α Yes, sir. 17 0 What was that case? 18 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object - objection. 19 Let's establish first whether she's going to be a 20 testifying expert or a consulting expert before you 21 have the identity of the case revealed. 22 MR. HOLFORD: I don't believe that the 23 identity of the case would be privileged even if she 24 was just a consulting expert. 25 I disagree about that, MR. BIERSTEKER:

1 and I'm going to ask that she not answer that if you 2 don't first establish the nature of the consulting 3 I don't think you're telling her that. (By Mr. Holford) Now, in that case, did you become 4 Q 5 a designated -- do you know what being designated as 6 a testifying expert is? 7 Yes, sir. Α Okay, in that -- well, I guess so. You've - you've 8 0 9 been done that a lot. Right? I've been done that. 10 Α 11 Q Now, in that first case that you mentioned, were you 12 - did you come to be designated as an expert? 13 I was acting as a consultant. Α 14 Was that - was the time of that first contact with Q 15 Jones-Day a - was it before 1988? 16 I really honestly don't remember. I just don't Α 17 remember when the first contact was. 18 But it was in the '80s. Right? Q 19 It would have been in the '80s as opposed to after Α 20 1990. 21 So how many cases have you been contacted on by Q 22 attorneys representing a tobacco entity? I think 23 you mentioned two so far, this one and that first 24 one.

Yes, sir.

25

Α

- Q Have there been any others?
- 2 A There's one more.

- Q It came between the first one and this one. Is that right?
  - A No, it has come more recent.
    - Q All right, have you been is that are you in that as a consulting or as a testifying expert?
      - A At this point, I'm not sure.
      - Q What case is that?
    - A Well, I don't give that.

MR. BIERSTEKER: I would ask that the witness not reveal that unless -- I frankly don't know what case it is, Doug. I'm not trying to be difficult, but if it's in a consulting capacity as opposed to testifying capacity -- I know we can't clearly establish that at the moment -- I don't want to waive any privileges, and I would ask that that not be divulged.

- Q (By Mr. Holford) So that wasn't Jones-Day that contacted you on this more recent one?
- A I believe it was, but I'm not sure. I'm not sure whether it was or not, and let me be honest.

  Ethically I cannot give you the name of clients because that ethically is something a psychologist

can't do under the rules of confidentiality unless I

1 have a court order to that effect. 2 Q Well, are you - when you say "clients" there, you're 3 speaking of attorneys representing tobacco 4 companies? 5 No, I can certainly give the names of the attorneys Α 6 here, but what I'm saying is I can't tell the names 7 of the clients or the patients involved. 8 Did I ask you any names of patients? Q 9 Α Yes, I thought you did. You were asking me the name of the patient involved in this case. 10 11 Oh, oh ---Q 12 Α And I can't give that. 13 Q Oh, then I misunderstood you. I didn't mean to ask 14 you that. I thought you were talking about a third 15 case where you were contacted by attorneys 16 representing a tobacco entity. 17 Α That's these gentlemen, the Jones-Day is what I was 18 talking about. 19 Q On the third case? 20 Α Yes. 21 So you had a first case back in the '80s in which Q 22 you were contacted by Jones-Day. Right? 23 Α Well, there were several attorneys involving several 24 different firms, and I honestly don't remember who 25 they all were.

- 1 Q But Jones-Day was one of them?
- 2 A I believe that's true.
- 3 Q Then there were some other firms as well?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q All right, then next in time order came this case in
- 6 which you were contacted by Jones-Day?
- 7 A Yes, sir.
- 8 Q All right, then you're saying there's a third case
  9 more recent ---
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q --- in which you were contacted by Jones-Day?
- 12 | A Yes.
- Q And you don't know whether you are consulting or testifying in that case yet?
- 15 A At this point, I do not.
- 16 Q In this case, have you been contacted to be an
- expert by any firms other than Jones-Day?
- 18 A There have been other attorneys present, and I am
- not always sure what they represent. They're
- 20 introduced, but I am not that clear on who they
- 21 represent.
- 22 Q And you're speaking now of conferences you've had
- with attorneys in this case?
- 24 A Yes, sir.
- 25 Q In the more recent case, were there firms other than

1 Jones-Day who contacted you? 2 In this most recent one? Α 3 Yes, uh-huh. Q 4 I don't honestly recall. As I said, I'm not sure Α whether they're all in different parts of the firm 5 6 or if they are from different firms and represent 7 different entities. 8 It's hard to keep track of, isn't it? 0 9 Α You attorneys are quite hard to keep track of, yes, 10 sir. 11 Well, I'm a sole practitioner, so you'll know, Dr. Q 12 Phelan. 13 Okay, all right. A 14 Now, do you recall when you were first contacted to Q 15 be an expert in this case? 16 Α No, sir, I don't. I'm sure that I could get those 17 times for you, but I don't - off the top of my head, 18 I don't know when they were. 19 Are you thinking of sometime after this time in the Q

'80s when you were first contacted?

Oh, yes, it's been fairly recently.

Q Last year?

20

21

22

23

Α

Q

Α

25 A I would say in the past year.

In the past year.

Can you tell me ---

- 1 Q Are you speaking of, like, 12 months before now?
- 2 A That's what I'm speaking of, in the past year.
- Q I mean, are you saying that -- this is '94. So are you saying sometime in '93, or are you saying

sometime in the last 12 months before now?

- A The best of my recollection is sometime in the past 12 months, but I'm not going to swear to that date.

  I need to look it up for you.
- Q All right, what would you look in?
- 10 A Probably I'd go to my secretary and get the billing
  11 records.
- Q Do you have your billing records on this for this case here?
- 14 A No, I don't.

5

6

7

8

9

- 15 Q We'll get to that.
- Now, how many do you know how many

  conferences you've had with attorneys in this case?
- 18 A I was going to say about three.
- Q Okay, was the first one when you were first contacted in this case?
- 21 A Yes, yes.
- 22 Q What was discussed then?
- A Mostly, I think, asking questions about my
  background and my area of expertise in substance
  abuse and giving me some general idea of what was

1 involved in the case and my wanting to decide 2 whether or not I would take it. 3 Well, wasn't your involvement in this case similar Q 4 to your involvement in the case in the '80s? 5 Α Well, that was, as I say, just as a consultant. 6 They were really sort of, quote, picking my brains 7 about the issues of addictions in general. 8 understood that I had treated alcoholics and drug 9 addicts for a long time, and they wanted to sort of 10 pick my brain. That's what I was told. 11 So what I'm getting at is didn't Jones-Day already -O 12 when they came to you for this case, didn't they 13 already know your background? 14 MR. BIERSTEKER: I object to the form of 15 the question, calls for speculation. 16 Α I don't know. They were asking me a lot about my 17 background. I don't know what they knew or what 18 they didn't know. I was just answering questions 19 like I'm doing for you. 20 All right, so anything else in that first conference 0 21 that you can recall? 22 Not that I recall. Α 23 Q And that was sometime in the past 12 months. 24 I believe, and I'm going to check that out for you. Α 25 0 That's your best memory. Right?

- 1 A I'm thinking it's in the past 12 months, yes.
- Q Well, this is March 22nd. So you're talking about sometime after March 22, 1993 roughly?
- A I don't know roughly, I think, I think. I'll have to check.
- 6 Q So when was the second conference?
- 7 A I don't recall precise dates. It's been within the last three months, I believe.
- 9 Q What was discussed there?

19

20

21

22

- 10 A First of all, how much they had sent me, what I had,
  11 what I wanted to see, et cetera, and in general
  12 discussed some of the general areas of the case and
  13 what I had read.
- Q So before the second conference, they had sent you materials on the case?
- A That is correct. When I had agreed to work with them, they asked me to if they could send me materials, which they did.
  - Q Then after reviewing those materials, did you give to them your opinions on this case?
  - A I talked over how I interpreted the stuff I had read.
- Q And was that sometime not long after your first conference in the case?
- 25 A I don't really recall. I'm not going to -- I don't

1 want to mislead you. So I'm not going to give you 2 dates I don't remember. 3 Q But it was sometime between your first and second 4 conference in the case? 5 That they sent it? A 6 Q No, that you gave them your opinions or you 7 discussed your opinions or your reactions to the 8 materials. 9 Α I honestly don't remember. I believe it was, but 10 I'm not sure. 11 Q So in the second conference then, you were just -12 tell me again what you-all were discussing. 13 Α Well, it may have been in that second conference 14 where I was discussing my reactions to what I had 15 read and some of the general specifics about the 16 case. I'm just not real sure. 17 Q Right, uh-huh. Okay, is that the extent of your 18 recall of that second conference? 19 A Yes, sir. 20 What about the third conference? When was that? 0 21 Α Last - yesterday, late afternoon, early evening. 22 Q Let me go back. About how long did that first 23 conference last? 24 Α I don't know. I really don't know. You are meaning 25 not the one way back when?

1 Q No, no, the first one in this case. I'm sorry.
2 A Generally my conferences are an hour to an hour and
3 a half. I don't know whether that is true or not.
4 That's in general what I schedule for conferences.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

- Q That is when you are undertaking to testify as an expert in a case?
- A I usually give anywhere from one to two hours. My secretary will ask people how much time they want. If they don't know, I usually schedule that much. Sometimes they don't use it; sometimes they do.
- Q So the second conference just within the last three months - do you have any better recall of about how long that was?
- A I'm sure I did exactly the same thing. That's just the way I schedule conferences with attorneys.
- Q You haven't treated this case any differently in time considerations than in your other cases where you testified as an expert?
- A No, I do exactly what I do all the time.
- 20 Q The conference yesterday how long did that last?
- 21 A That certainly lasted, I think, about three hours.
  - Q So that was outside your usual. Right?
- 23 A That was outside the usual, yes.
- Q What was the reason that it was outside the usual?
- 25 A Well, first of all, there was we had to work out a

1 time when it was agreeable to everyone, and they had 2 invited me, if I wanted, to stay for dinner. 3 Q At their hotel, you mean? 4 Α Yeah, uh-huh, at ---5 0 So are you including the time you had for dinner in that three hours? 6 7 I'm including both discussion of the case and some Α social time, yes, sir. 8 9 Okay, okay. So ---Q 10 Α I ended up not eating dinner, but I ate a lot of 11 peanuts. I brought the wrong file. I had to go 12 back and get the right one. All that stuff went on. 13 I include all of that. 14 So did you discuss anything new that you hadn't Q 15 already discussed in the previous two conferences in 16 this conference yesterday? 17 Α I don't think so. I think in general it was pretty 18 much the same. 19 Q Refreshing your thinking, I mean? 20 Well, one is I was kind of getting to know Mr. Α 21 David, whom I really didn't know very well. 22 Q You had never met Joe David before? 23 Α I had met him one time. I didn't really know him. 24 MR. DAVID: It's hard to believe, isn't 25 it?

1 Α And so I was getting to know him, and he was getting to know me and discussing the case. 2 3 (By Mr. Holford) Did you discuss anything else Q 4 about like what this deposition would be about or 5 like, I mean, or what plaintiffs' attorney would be 6 like or anything of that nature? 7 Sure, discussed about the deposition, just wanted to Α 8 make sure that I understood what they were, and once 9 he found out I had been in depositions, we didn't go 10 into a great deal of detail about that, told me in 11 general about the kinds of things that he expected 12 to occur in a case like this. 13 Q Are you talking about what Mr. David told you? 14 Α Yes, yes, sir. 15 Did he tell you that the kind of arguing you've seen 0 16 here today would go on? 17 I don't recall whether he said that. I know he Α 18 said, "I may object to things" and so on and so 19 forth. I don't think he talked about arguing. 20 MR. DAVID: I referred to it as colloquy 21 between and among counsel. 22 (Phelan Exhibit No. 2 marked for 23 identification. 24 (By Mr. Holford) Now, I show you what's been marked Q 25 as Phelan Exhibit 2. Do you recognize that?

1 Yes, sir. Α 2 You've seen it before? Q 3 Α I got a fax of this. I didn't get it until 4 yesterday because it was sent late on Friday, and I 5 guess I had already left, and so I didn't get the 6 fax until Monday. 7 0 Do you see the - did you see the subpoena duces 8 tecum starting on the second page? 9 A Yes, sir. 10 Q Have you brought what that asks for? 11 I brought all the documents and stuff that I had, Α 12 yes, sir. 13 MR. DAVID: I think, Doug, in fairness 14 on No. 1, we're going have to supplement with 15 whatever documents might exist in terms of billing. 16 That's my error. We'll supplement it as soon as 17 possible. 18 MR. HOLFORD: Okay, that's fine. 19 I'll fax it to you when I THE WITNESS: 20 get back to the office. 21 MR. HOLFORD: Is that all right, Mr. 22 David, if she does that? 23 MR. DAVID: Sure. I think I read it to 24 be - to - as I read it, I read it to be some actual 25 written agreement as opposed to billing records.

	l	
1		I read it sitting here today, I think it could
2		fairly be read to include whatever bills we have
3		been sent, and if that's what you meant by it
4		MR. HOLFORD: Well, it's both.
5	Q	(By Mr. Holford) Do you have an engagement
6		agreement with Jones-Day here?
7	A	A contract?
8	Q	Yes.
9	A	No, sir.
10	Q	Okay, what you're referring to are your copies of
11		your bills to them for your services in this case.
12		Right?
13	A	For any time that they used, yes, sir.
14		MR. HOLFORD: Is it all right if she
15		faxes that to me?
16		MR. DAVID: Well, I think we should see
17		them first, but I don't have any - I don't think
18		we're going to have any objection to it. So we will
19		fax them to you.
20		THE WITNESS: Okay, I'll fax them to
21		him. He can fax them to you. I'll do that today.
22		MR. HOLFORD: Okay, all right.
23	Q	(By Mr. Holford) Now, we have your most recent CV.
24		Right?
25	A	Yes, sir.

- Q No. 2. Now, No. 3 have you published any works
  that concern cigarette smoking and any disease or
  addiction?
- A As I say, I have some publications, but I don't have copies of them.
- 6 Q You are referring to things in your CV?
- 7 A Yes, yes, sir.
- 8 Q Well, I thought you told me that the things that are 9 described in your CV do not expressly discuss 10 tobacco smoking?
- 11 A What you say or addiction. I thought you meant
  12 any addiction.
  - Q No, I'm sorry. I think you're probably right, but let's just talk about cigarette smoking and nicotine addiction.
- 16 A Oh, I took it to mean any disease or addiction, and
  17 I ---
- 18 Q Okay.

13

14

15

- 19 A I don't have copies of things I've written is all 20 I'm saying.
- 21 Q And you never wrote anything regarding nicotine
  22 addiction because you don't believe it exists.
  23 Right?
- 24 A I don't believe it's addictive, right.
- Q Now, what about No. 4, things that support your

1 opinions that you intend to testify on? 2 MR. DAVID: We submitted an objection 3 with respect to No. 4. 4 I think you said -- I MR. HOLFORD: 5 mean, I wanted to see if I watermarked it too much. 6 I think I saw on the second page you said you were 7 going to produce anything that ---8 MR. DAVID: Directly supports the 9 opinions. 10 MR. HOLFORD: Yes, or something. 11 See, I mean, I've got 25 years of stuff that I've Α 12 read, looked at and heard and all of which of course 13 supports and affects my beliefs and my opinions, 14 but, you know, I couldn't collect 25 years of stuff. 15 I wouldn't know where to begin. 16 0 Do you have anything that says that nicotine and 17 cigarette smoke is not addicting? I don't know. 18 Α 19 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 20 question. 21 (By Mr. Holford) I mean, anything that you can Q 22 think of now. Do you have any reference at all that 23 says that? 24 Oh, I've read lots of things that say that. Α I don't 25 have - I don't know any specific articles or any

specific books or anything that say that, but 1 2 certainly I've read that. 3 Well, I'm not talking about in the past, you know, 0 4 hundred years or anything. I'm saying ---5 Α I haven't lived that long. 6 Q Do you have any -- well, I know, but you have 7 resources from that far back. 8 MR. DAVID: You've billed enough hours. 9 No. 10 0 (By Mr. Holford) Do you have any current authority 11 that says that nicotine -- all right, let's just say 12 do you have any reference published in the last ten 13 years that says nicotine is not addicting? 14 I don't know. I honestly don't know. Α 15 As you sit there, you can't recall any? 0 16 Α I don't know. I've read it. I don't know whether 17 it's in the last ten years, the last five years. 18 don't know where or who published it. You know, I 19 just don't know. 20 0 So you can't state on the record here any authority 21 from any time that states that nicotine and 22 cigarette smoke is not addicting? 23 MR. DAVID: That misstates the 24 testimony. I object to the form of the question. 25 She said she has read it.

- A I've read it. I just can't tell you where, when or,
  you know, what publication it was.
- Q So it could have been from something written in 1910, in other words. Right?
  - A No, no, I don't go back that far except with Freud.

    No, sir, it would have been certainly within the

    time that I've been involved in this field, which is

    1970 no, about 1968 or '7, yeah, that I've been

    involved in this field. So clearly it's since then.

    I would have had no reason to have read those kind

    of articles prior to that.
  - Q Are you going to be able to -- let's see. First of all, if this case goes to trial, are you going to testify live?
  - A If I'm asked to.
- 16 | Q Haven't you been?
- A As far as I know, I will, but I don't know. I mean, if I'm asked to, I will. I'm assuming I will.
- 19 Q Well, that's why I said if the case actually goes to 20 trial ---
- 21 A Yes, sir.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

- Q --- and plaintiffs actually present their case and it's defendants' turn to put on witnesses, do you believe you'll be there?
- 25 | A In my opinion ---

1 MR. DAVID: Asked and answered, you 2 know. 3 I believe I will be. In my opinion, I will. Α 4 0 Now, are you going to be able at that time to bring 5 any reference that says that nicotine and cigarette 6 smoke is not addicting? 7 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 8 question. 9 Α I don't know. I don't know. 10 Q (By Mr. Holford) Now, you're saying right now that 11 you've read that somewhere, but you can't think of 12 one single author, treatise, anything - any way at 13 all to point to any one statement to that effect? 14 At this point in time, that's correct, sir. Α 15 Now, about how much have you billed the Q 16 defendants in this case? 17 Α Haven't the foggiest. I bill them by the hour, and 18 so however many hours I've spent with them, I billed 19 them at my regular fee for those regular hours. 20 I'll see that in your bills? Q 21 Absolutely. Α 22 Q But on Item 4, did you bring anything here today 23 that, in your opinion, supports your opinions in 24 this case? 25 Α I don't even know where to begin. When I read it, I

thought I don't know where to begin. I've got 25, 1 almost 30 years' worth of stuff in my head, from 2 3 experience, from things I've read. I didn't know 4 how to bring all that except me. 5 Q That's why I asked you if there's anything that you 6 have other than your general reading and experience 7 that supports your opinions in this case. 8 I brought what's all up here. That's the best I can Α 9 do for you today. Well, let's go to No. 11 -- I'm sorry -- No. 12, 10 Q 11 anything you've received from any defendant or 12 defendants' counsel in this case? 13 That I brought. Α 14 What is that? Q Those are depositions from the Allgood case. 15 Α 16 MR. HOLFORD: Mr. David, can you ---17 MR. DAVID: Sure. 18 (By Mr. Holford) What depositions are those? Q 19 Those are Bonnie Allgood's Volumes 1 and 2, and it's Α 20 Marcus Allgood's, and it's Samuel Allgood's 21 deposition from a workers comp case. 22 Q You didn't get Malcolm Allgood? 23 No, sir, I didn't. Α 24 Do you know of any particular reason for that? 0 25 Α No, sir.

1 And so those three depositions - those three 0 2 persons' depositions, four volumes, are the only 3 depositions from this case that you've received? 4 Α Those are all I have. 5 Have you received any summaries or deposition Q 6 summaries or notes on them? 7 No, sir. Α Are those depositions you've just named all the 8 Q 9 tangible items that you've received from defendants 10 in this case? 11 That is correct. Α Do those depositions of Bonnie Allgood and Marcus 12 0 13 Allgood and the workmen's comp deposition of Samuel 14 Allgood - do they support your opinions in this 15 case? 16 Α Yes, sir, some of the material from them I've used 17 to support my opinions. So those depositions - those depositions are all you 18 ٠Q have produced here today that support your opinions 19 20 in this case. Right? 21 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 22 question. 23 These are produced here because of No. 12. You had Α 24 asked me to bring in all the documents I had gotten 25 from people about the case, and that's it.

- 1 Q Yes. I understand that.
- 2 A Okay, that's why they're here.
- Q But you also say that they fit Paragraph 4 because they do support your opinions in this case?
- 5 MR. DAVID: Directly, subject to the objection.
- 7 Q (By Mr. Holford) Is that right?
  - A Certainly the materials in them a lot of the materials in them do support my opinions about a lot of things in this case.
- 11 Q In this case?
- 12 A Yes, okay.

9

10

21

22

- Q So then I'm asking, other than these depositions of
  Bonnie Allgood, Marcus Allgood and Samuel Allgood,
  you don't have anything else here today that support
  your opinions in this case?
- MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the question.
- 19 A Except 20 some-odd years of knowledge of working with addictions.
  - Q (By Mr. Holford) You don't have any other tangible item here today that supports your opinions in this case. Right?
- A I hope this brain is tangible, yes, sir, but other
  than that, that's all I brought.

- All right, thank you. Now, how about No. 6? Do you have -- well, let me back up. On No. 12, those four volumes of depositions are the only things you have in your possession, custody or control that were that you received from defendants. Right?

  A That's correct.

  Again, in Paragraph 4, your brain and those four
  - Q Again, in Paragraph 4, your brain and those four depositions are all that you have in your possession, custody or control that support the opinions you have in this case. Right?

MR. DAVID: I mean, I'm going to object to the form of the question.

Q (By Mr. Holford) Well, that directly support your opinions in this case.

MR. DAVID: I know there was an attempt to inject some humor in there, but her brain plus whatever knowledge it contains and her recollections of what she has read over 25 years.

MR. HOLFORD: But that's not tangible - experience. Okay?

Q (By Mr. Holford) Are the depositions and what you carry in your mind being tangible - are those the only tangible items that you have in your possession, custody or control which support the opinions to which you intend to testify in this

case?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Well, see, that's difficult for me to answer. Α I got tons of books. me explain. I may have books. I may have some books still floating around, some articles still floating around that are certainly pertinent to the way I think about addictions in general or any kind of substance abuse, et cetera. Those I've read over the past 20, 25, 30 years, but I would have to go through every article. have to go through every book, and I have extensive books like you attorneys do on psychological data to even dig it out, and it might take me ten years to do it then. If I pull a sentence from here and a word from here, a paragraph from here, it would be very difficult to do.

What I've done is try to synthesize all that I've learned, all I've been taught, all I've seen, all I've dealt with and all I've read up here, and it now lodges in whatever area there is that helps me form my opinions.

- Q Have you read any surgeon general's reports?
- A I have seen excerpts of surgeon general's reports.
- I don't think I've ever read the full document.
- 24 | Q Excerpts made by who?
  - A Newspapers, articles in professional journals, et

1 cetera. 2 0 Which surgeon general's reports have you seen 3 anything from? 4 Off and on through the years probably since the Α '50s. 5 6 Q All of them? 7 Α I've seen excerpts from it because it would all be 8 printed in the paper. You know, excerpts from all 9 the attorney - I mean, the surgeon general's reports 10 would be then put at least in the New York Times. 11 would read them. 12 Q You mean from the '60s. They started, I think, in 13 764. 14 I was going to say from wherever they started, they Α 15 kept coming out in the newspaper, and you'd read 16 them. 17 Q Now, does that include the recent ones, the '86, 18 '87, '88, '89? 19 I have certainly read excerpts from those. Α 20 never read a complete report. I haven't ordered and 21 received and read a complete report. 22 Well, did the excerpts that you read from those Q 23 reports appear to be the primary points of those 24 reports? 25 Α That was my understanding, that they synthesized it.

- 1 Q Do you have any books that you primarily rely on in your daily practice?
  - It depends on what areas we're talking about because I deal with lots of areas, and so there are all kinds -- I may go back to a reference on family systems work for a particular case. I may go back to a reference on working with narcissistic personalities, depending on the case. So there are books I go to and get information from or refresh my memory. There are notes I go to. There are books I use all the time. I go to the library and get reread articles, you know.
  - Q Have you ever treated a patient for the purpose of achieving smoking cessation?
  - A No, I do not have a practice that includes treating people specifically for ceasing to smoke, although I have had patients who have worked on stopping smoking.
  - Q You mean with somebody else?
- 20 A No.

- 21 Q By themselves?
- 22 A No, with me, but that was not the reason they came 23 into therapy.
- Q Well, I'm not asking why they presented. I'm asking as long as they were your patient, have you ever

1 treated a patient for purposes of achieving smoking 2 cessation? 3 I've treated people who wanted to stop smoking and Α 4 tried to help them with that, yes. 5 Is that different from treating them for purposes of Q 6 achieving smoking cessation? 7 Α Absolutely. I was not treating them for the purpose 8 of stopping smoking. I was treating them for other 9 issues, and in the process of therapy, it also was 10 recognized by the patient that they wanted to stop 11 smoking, and we dealt with that in a therapeutic 12 process, but they didn't come into therapy for that. 13 That was not the goal of therapy, and that's not the 14 reason that we terminated therapy. That was one of 15 those things that comes up during the therapeutic 16 process. 17 Q In your treatment of any patient, have you ever 18 achieved smoking cessation? 19 Α Certainly people have stopped smoking. I didn't 20 achieve it. They did. They stopped smoking. 21 So your treatment of a patient itself has never Q 22 caused smoking cessation. Is that right? 23 Α I don't believe ---24 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 25 question.

- A I don't believe you can stop somebody else's behavior. They are responsible and have to stop that behavior. You can help them with finding ways to achieve the goals they have, but you are not the one who does it. I mean, it's not like going in and taking out an appendix and being able to hold it up and see it. This is a decision that the person has to make. All I can do as their therapist is to help and support them, give them some guidelines, work with them on some techniques for doing and achieving whatever it is they want.
- Q Well, so what have you done with any patient regarding the cessation of their smoking?
- Well, we certainly have talked about some of the issues involved with their cigarette smoking or their tobacco smoking of any kind because in a couple of cases it's been cigars. We have talked about ways that they could get help. Sometimes I've referred them to Nicorette gum if they're having trouble. Sometimes I've referred them to the new patches that people are wearing and have had success with those who really want to quit, no success with those who really don't want to quit, and sometimes people have simply been able to recognize they want to quit and do it.

Q In your presence?

- A Have said they're going to stop. I mean, I don't go home with them.
  - Q You don't know anything but what happens in your office or what they tell you?
  - A I have to believe my patients.
    - Q But it's true, isn't it? You don't know anything but what happens in your office or what they tell you?
    - A Well, no, that is not true because I do know sometimes from the spouses who come in and say, "Thank God she quit," or, "Thank God he quit. I'm so glad those cigars are out of the house." So that's not always true.

Sometimes I do have other people verifying that that is true, but they have no reason to lie to me about quitting because it's not - I'm not going to judge them whether they quit or don't quit.

- Q How many patients have you had that through dealing with you have ceased smoking?
- A I have no idea. I really don't keep track of that.

  You know, you work with alcoholics. You work with
  almost a hundred percent smoke, and of those, a lot
  of them, after they gave up decided to give up the
  alcohol and get themselves straight, they also

1 decided to stop smoking. 2 (Discussion off the record. 3 Look at No. 14, Dr. Phelan. Q (By Mr. Holford) 4 Records of each and every patient or client whom -5 who you contend you have caused to quit smoking. 6 What's your response to that? 7 MR. BIERSTEKER: Did you see the 8 objection to that, Mr. Holford? 9 Under all the ethical considerations there Α I can't. 10 are for psychologists, let me tell you the board 11 would haul me in and really clean me up if I did any 12 such thing. That's the breach of confidentiality. 13 MR. HOLFORD: And that's what your 14 objection says? 15 MR. BIERSTEKER: Yes, confidential, 16 privileged records. 17 Α I could lose my license for that. 18 Q (By Mr. Holford) Well, on these records, Dr. 19 Phelan, if you or Jones-Day did - blanked out the 20 patient names, there would no longer be any 21 confidentiality violated in my looking at them, 22 would there? 23 MR. ALLEN: Mr. Holford, in the Federal 24 Rules of Evidence -- and sometimes to help you, if 25 you and I disagree, I'll let you know, but the rule

1 says that not only is the patient's identity 2 privileged but all statements made, the diagnosis 3 and treatment. Simply by deleting the name does not relieve the problem of privilege. 4 5 MR. RILEY: I also want to object to 6 these questions because there's no foundation that's 7 been laid for the questions. Go ahead. 8 MR. HOLFORD: Oh, all right. 9 MR. BIERSTEKER: I object to the 10 question as overbroad. 11 Q (By Mr. Holford) Dr. Phelan, I could know the 12 number of any such patients whom you contend you've 13 caused to stop smoking just by knowing how many 14 files you have. Right? 15 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of 16 the question. 17 Α I beg your pardon? You could know how many people I 18 helped stop smoking by knowing the number of files I 19 have? 20 The number of patients - the number of, yes, patient Q 21 files or records that you have ---22 Α Well ---23 --- that fit that category. Q 24 MR. ALLEN: Mr. Holford, if it would be 25 appropriate, I also would join in the objection or

state the objection this assumes facts not in evidence based upon prior testimony.

MR. RILEY: It's contrary to the testimony.

MR. HOLFORD: Okay.

A First of all, it would be really very hard because after five years I'm allowed to get rid of records. Because I have boxes after boxes, like I'm sure all of you attorneys have, of case records that are - have been terminated, I get rid of records when they're five years old. I destroy them. I shred them.

So since I've been licensed since 1975 to practice independently in the state of Texas, there are a lot of records I don't have. I just don't have them anymore.

I don't keep track of records by the type of illness they have or the problems I work on or the goals for therapy. I keep track of records only by client/patient names. That's all. So I can't give you either a number of how many, nor could I give you -- and I can't give - all I know is that I cannot give any kind of client records without their permission without jeopardizing my own license.

Q (By Mr. Holford) So you're not going to produce

anything in response to Paragraph 14 of Exhibit 2.

Right?

A I can't.

- Now, so let me understand. From what you can recall that what you've done with any patients of yours who are there for something else who also say they want to stop smoking what you've done is refer them for Nicorette gum -- when you say "refer them," who do you refer them to?
- A Well, they have to get that from a physician. It has to have a prescription for the Nicorette patches and gum.
- Q So you anyone that you refer for Nicorette gum you refer to a physician for that physician's care on that. Right?
- A I do ---

MR. BIERSTEKER: I object to the form of the question.

A You have to do one of two things. I say, "Ask your physician to get you some Nicorette gum or some patches." If they don't have a physician, I may give them the names of four or five physicians that I know, but most have their own physicians. So what I say is, "Just go back and ask your family doctor for the prescriptions for these two things if you

want to try them."

And with your patients who, again, have come in for some other problem like alcohol or something who raised to you wanting to stop smoking, you - you either refer them to a physician for Nicorette gum or you refer them to a physician for patches - the nicotine patches. Is that right?

MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of the question. It mischaracterizes the prior testimony.

- A Now, I don't do only that. Of course even though I do do that in some cases, we're going to deal with the issue of whatever it is that they want to work on about smoking. If that's an issue they want to work on, we're going to deal with that in therapy.
- Q All right, how do you do that? Well, let me go back a minute.

In any case in which you have not referred the patient for Nicorette gum or nicotine patches, what have you done instead?

A There are some times where you can simply use some relaxation training. You can use some imaging and visualization with people to help them overcome their desire to smoke, help them - support that part of them that wants to guit. You can do a number of

1 things with people, any of which may or may not 2 A lot of them are simply behavioral kind of 3 techniques. 4 Now, does that then describe everything you've done 0 5 with the people who have said, "I want to stop 6 smoking"? 7 Α I don't know. Those are the ones that come to mind 8 right now. I hadn't really thought of this. 9 Q All right, how many patients have you had that 10 you've helped with their smoking? I don't know. 11 Α 12 Q Could be as few as ten, or it could be as many as a 13 hundred? 14 I certainly think it's probably more than ten, but I Α 15 don't have any idea how many. I just don't keep 16 track of patients by terms of the goals of therapy. 17 You think it's been more than 20? Q 18 Α I don't know. 19 More than 30? Q 20 I don't know. Α 21 Q Less than 30? 22 I don't know. Α 23 Q Do you know how many of your patients that have 24 asked you for help with their smoking that have 25 stopped smoking while under your care?

- A I don't know how many, no, sir. I just don't keep track of them like that.
  - Q More than ten?
- 4 | A I don't know.

- 0 Less than ten?
- A Honestly I don't know.
  - All right. Now, I don't know if there's any more here. Yes, No. 7 -- you may have answered this, but it's all scientific or medical articles or works which discuss cigarette smoking and addiction and, No. 8, cigarette smoking and any disease. Do you have any books in your office which you more frequently refer to that discuss cigarette smoking?

MR. DAVID: I object to the form of the question. It assumes facts not in evidence. I also wanted to point out that there is an objection interposed to this particular request.

- Q (By Mr. Holford) Well, let me make it independent of the subpoena, Dr. Phelan. Do you have some books in your office that regarding substance addiction or substance abuse?
- A Substance abuse.
- Q Yes, that you more often refer to?
- A At this point, I don't refer to a lot of them unless some new things have come out and I read it because

I've been doing it so long that I already have retained most of it up here that I use. I don't keep a lot of books in my office at all. I keep loads of books at home because I don't have room in my office. I have a small office, and I don't have room for those books.

There aren't any that I go to all the time that I use for references. There just aren't at this point. When new ones come along, I buy them and read them.

- Q I mean to cover your office and your home of course, anything you have, you understand?
- A Well, that's why I wanted to clarify.

- Q Well, let's talk about anything you've received in the last five years. Have any of those discussed cigarette smoking?
- A I don't know. They may have. You have no idea how many journals and how many books I buy.
  - Q Well, I'm speaking specifically of books right now.
  - A Okay. Well, I honestly don't know. I go to these conferences and spend \$300 on books because I love to read, and I like to keep up with what's happening in my field, and I just don't know how many I buy on any one subject.
- Q Well, let's say any of the books you've read in the

1 last three years - have any of them discussed 2 cigarette smoking? 3 Α They may have. I just don't remember right off the 4 bat. 5 You can't recall? Q 6 Α No, sir. 7 Q Can you remember when the last time - approximately 8 when the last time you read anything about cigarette 9 smoking was? 10 Oh, I think ---A 11 MR. RILEY: I object to the form of the 12 question. 13 Α I think I've probably read something the last week 14 on cigarette smoking. 15 0 What about cigarette smoking did that discuss? 16 Α It had to do with litigation like this. 17 0 Do you remember the name of that book? 18 Α No, sir. 19 Q Do you remember the author? 20 No, sir. Α 21 It was a book, not an article? Q 22 No, I think it was an article. I didn't know we Α 23 were just talking about books. 24 Well, maybe not. So do you remember where the Q 25 article was published or in what it was published?

- A I think it was the New York Times, but I won't swear to it.
  - Q Do you recall the gist of this article?
  - A It was interviewing a great many people within the cigarette industry about this whole issue of smoking and litigation and the feelings about what cigarettes do and don't do, et cetera. It was a very extensive article, but I don't remember who wrote it.
    - Q These were executives of tobacco companies?
- 11 A And attorneys, yes, sir.
- 12 Q Attorneys for tobacco companies?
- 13 A Yes, sir.

- Q They were all pretty uniformly saying that
  cigarettes do not cause disease in humans. Right?

  MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the
  question.
  - A That wasn't the that wasn't the way the article was written. It wasn't asking whether they believe it or don't believe it. It was more about the relationship of the whole tobacco industry in the country. It was it was a much more broader kind of an article. It was very well done and very interesting to me.
  - Q (By Mr. Holford) Do you read the New York Times

1		regularly?
2	A	I sure do.
3	Q	Do you remember the article in the New York Times
4		maybe in the last year called Smoking Mirrors?
5	A	That rings a bell, but I can't remember what exactly
6		specifically it was about.
7	Q	I think a lady named Friedman was one author?
8	A	I don't remember authors. I'm sorry, but that
9		vaguely rings a bell. So I bet I did read it.
10	Q	That talked about how the tobacco industry through
11		the Council for Tobacco Research and the Tobacco
12		Institute have been misleading the public about
13		smoking for so many years. Do you recall that?
14		MR. BIERSTEKER: I object to the form of
15		the question.
	•	
16	A	I just remember reading the article. I don't
16 17	A	I just remember reading the article. I don't remember the specifics about it, but I - because the
	A	
17	A Q	remember the specifics about it, but I - because the
17 18		remember the specifics about it, but I - because the name rings a bell, I feel I did read it.
17 18 19		remember the specifics about it, but I - because the name rings a bell, I feel I did read it.  (By Mr. Holford) All right, do you remember having
17 18 19	Q	remember the specifics about it, but I - because the name rings a bell, I feel I did read it.  (By Mr. Holford) All right, do you remember having any reaction to that article?
17 18 19 20 21	Q	remember the specifics about it, but I - because the name rings a bell, I feel I did read it.  (By Mr. Holford) All right, do you remember having any reaction to that article?  Oh, I find all these extremely useful and
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A	remember the specifics about it, but I - because the name rings a bell, I feel I did read it.  (By Mr. Holford) All right, do you remember having any reaction to that article?  Oh, I find all these extremely useful and interesting.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A	remember the specifics about it, but I - because the name rings a bell, I feel I did read it.  (By Mr. Holford) All right, do you remember having any reaction to that article?  Oh, I find all these extremely useful and interesting.  Do you generally believe what you read in the New

1 MR. RILEY: Object to the form. 2 Α I don't believe everything I read anywhere. 3 Well, do you have any memory of not believing what Q 4 you read in that article, Smoking Mirrors? 5 Α I don't remember the total - the article. So I 6 can't tell you whether I do or don't. I can't 7 remember the total article. So I don't know whether there was anything in it ---8 9 MR. BIERSTEKER: We have testimony, "I 10 think I read it. " Now you are saying, "Do you agree 11 with everything that it said?" That's really 12 unfair. If you want to ask her about specific 13 things in a specific article, go ahead and show her 14 the article and ask her what her opinions are about 15 those specifics things. 16 THE WITNESS: I would be glad to do 17 that. I would have to refresh my memory. 18 Q (By Mr. Holford) I am interested, Dr. Phelan, in 19 your feeling. I have heard this thrown around here, 20 but do you feel that I've been unfair to you in my 21 questioning? 22 A You're doing your job. I expect you to be whatever 23 you need to be in order to do your job, and it's okay. I understand. 24 25 Q Well, I really would like to know if you think I've

been unfair in any of my questions to you. 1 2 It doesn't matter whether I think that or not. Α 3 You're doing the job you need to do, and I respect 4 you for that. I will work with you with that. 5 Q Are you saying you don't have any thought on that? 6 Α I don't have any thought on that. I don't take it 7 personally, sir. 8 All right, do you remember any other articles Q 9 concerning smoking that you read? 10 No, and I am sure ---Α 11 MR. RILEY: I object to the form of the 12 question. 13 Α I'm sure I've read scads of them. I do read New 14 York Times. I do read -- let's see -- U.S. World 15 News, Newsweek, Time. I got a bunch of scientific 16 journals that I get every month. 17 Do you believe that - do you believe that smoking Q 18 causes lung cancer for instance? 19 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form. 20 Α I believe that smoking puts you at risk for a number 21 of illnesses, and that's one of them. 22 Q (By Mr. Holford) You adopt the risk factor 23 terminology? 24 Α Absolutely. I think it puts you at risk for it, 25 just like ten dozen other things in this country,

1 you bet. 2 Do you think -- oh, you think that there are other 0 3 things in this country that are as risky to your 4 health as cigarette smoking? 5 Α More. 6 Q Like what? 7 Α I think alcohol is far more risky to your health 8 than anything. I think pollutants in the air are 9 far more risky than anything. I think some of the 10 additives in our food are very risky. 11 Q More so than cigarette smoking? 12 Α I certainly think they equal it. 13 Now, let's talk about mortality, that is, causing 0 14 death. Okay, what things in this society do you 15 think are a greater risk for causing death than 16 cigarette smoking? 17 Alcohol. Α 18 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form. 19 And things in our food? Q 20 Α I think certainly - I think that the things that are 21 damaging us the most around substances - foreign 22 substances in our bodies are probably the pollutants 23 that come out of our automobiles and our factories 24 and our chemical plants. 25 Q Well, I'm talking about killing you now.

I think they kill you. 1 Α As much as cigarette smoking? 2 Q You bet I do. 3 Α 4 Q Okay, now ---If you're living in those areas, sure. If you live 5 Α 6 in Montana and you've got wide-open sky, no. But you're not a medical doctor. So this is just 7 Q your personal opinion. Right? 8 That's personal opinion from reading stuff, just 9 Α like everything else. 10 Now, still you've read a lot about smoking in the 11 Q 12 last ten years. Right? 13 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 14 question. MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of 15 the question. 16 I don't know what "a lot" is, but I have read about 17 Α it. 18 (By Mr. Holford) Weren't those your words? 19 Q I've certainly read a lot of articles, and some of 20 Α those, a lot of those, I don't know. 21 22 Q Were about smoking. Right? 23 Α Yeah. But it's your testimony that you have not -- I'm 24 Q going to take that back. Let me just ask you 25

1 purely, okay, how many or what quantity of articles 2 or books or other writings have you seen that say 3 that nicotine and cigarette smoking is addicting? 4 Α I don't know. I've certainly seen some that say 5 I don't know how many. that. Well, how many that have said that nicotine in 6 0 cigarette smoke is addicting have you seen in 7 8 comparison to any you've seen that say nicotine and 9 cigarette smoke is not addicting? 10 Α I don't know. I honestly don't keep track of 11 numbers like that. I just don't do it. 12 Q Well, what is the basis for your opinion that 13 nicotine is not addicting? 14 I think it's a habit. I believe that it is a habit Α 15 and that you have free will to stop that habit if 16 you want to. One is personal experience, and the 17 other is having worked with people who are addicted 18 to all kinds of things for the past 25 years. Like chocolate? 19 Q 20 Α Like chocolate. I work in an eating disorder 21 clinic, you bet. 22 Like sugar? Q 23 Α Like sugar. 24 Now, Dr. Phelan ---Q 25 Α By the way, if you are a diabetic, sugar can kill

	l	· ·
1		you, too. I think all of these things in excess put
2		us at risk for certain things. So we have to decide
3		as intelligent human beings whether or not we're
4		going to do that.
5	Q	Now, Dr. Phelan, look at No. 9. Do you have any
6		indexes of anything you've read in this case, like
7		these depositions?
8	A	No, sir, I don't keep indices.
9	Q	You don't make notes either. Right?
10	A	Well, you know, I may have jotted things down here.
11		Sometimes I make notes; sometimes I don't.
12	Q	You may have made some notes in the depositions?
13	A	May have.
14		MR. HOLFORD: I want to end up as
15		Exhibit 2-A any of the pages in those depositions
15 16		Exhibit 2-A any of the pages in those depositions that Dr. Phelan has made notes on. Is that
16		that Dr. Phelan has made notes on. Is that
16 17		that Dr. Phelan has made notes on. Is that MR. BIERSTEKER: You can go ahead and go
16 17 18		that Dr. Phelan has made notes on. Is that  MR. BIERSTEKER: You can go ahead and go through it and identify them, and then I'll make
16 17 18 19		that Dr. Phelan has made notes on. Is that  MR. BIERSTEKER: You can go ahead and go through it and identify them, and then I'll make copies of the pages you identify.
16 17 18 19 20		that Dr. Phelan has made notes on. Is that  MR. BIERSTEKER: You can go ahead and go through it and identify them, and then I'll make copies of the pages you identify.  MR. HOLFORD: All right, I will do that
16 17 18 19 20 21		that Dr. Phelan has made notes on. Is that  MR. BIERSTEKER: You can go ahead and go through it and identify them, and then I'll make copies of the pages you identify.  MR. HOLFORD: All right, I will do that as soon as we finish the deposition. That will be
16 17 18 19 20 21		that Dr. Phelan has made notes on. Is that  MR. BIERSTEKER: You can go ahead and go through it and identify them, and then I'll make copies of the pages you identify.  MR. HOLFORD: All right, I will do that as soon as we finish the deposition. That will be Exhibit 2-A.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		that Dr. Phelan has made notes on. Is that  MR. BIERSTEKER: You can go ahead and go through it and identify them, and then I'll make copies of the pages you identify.  MR. HOLFORD: All right, I will do that as soon as we finish the deposition. That will be Exhibit 2-A.  MR. DAVID: That will be fine.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		that Dr. Phelan has made notes on. Is that  MR. BIERSTEKER: You can go ahead and go through it and identify them, and then I'll make copies of the pages you identify.  MR. HOLFORD: All right, I will do that as soon as we finish the deposition. That will be Exhibit 2-A.  MR. DAVID: That will be fine.  (Phelan Exhibit No. 2-A reserved)

1 O (By Mr. Holford) No. 10, Dr. Phelan, any summaries 2 in whatever form that you provided to defendants -3 have you given anything written to defendants? 4 Α Nobody asked me to write a report. I haven't 5 written anything. 6 Q Okay, so that covers No. 11, anything you provided 7 There's nothing but the bills. to defendants. 8 Right? 9 Α That's it. 10 Have you received any items from any consultants, Q 11 non - No. 13, any consultants, nontestifying experts 12 or testifying experts in this case? 13 Α You mean have people - other experts sent stuff to 14 me for this case specifically? 15 Well, not necessarily sent directly to you, but have Q you received anything -- I think you've said that. 16 17 You've said you've only received ---18 This is all I have gotten, right, sir, and that's Α 19 what I brought. 20 Whether from any plaintiffs' experts or any other Q 21 defendants' experts ---22 Α Oh, I see what you mean. 23 Q --- you haven't received anything that came from -24 like their depositions or notes or anything? 25 Α No, sir, I've only gotten this.

1	Q	Now, No. 14 - you're standing on the
2		confidentiality, and you're refusing to produce
3		anything with regard to No. 14. Right?
4	A	Absolutely.
5	Q	No. 15 - anything relating to Samuel Allgood, well,
6		that's the depositions here?
7	A	Uh-huh, yes, sir.
8	Q	Now, as you sit there now, Dr. Phelan, have you seen
9		and reviewed everything that you consider necessary
10		to support the opinions you expect to state at the
11		trial of this case?
12	A	Yes, sir.
13		MR. BIERSTEKER: Are you getting hungry?
14		THE WITNESS: I'm getting hungry.
15		MR. HOLFORD: Yeah. I won't have - I
16		probably have less than an hour.
17		MR. BIERSTEKER: Well, then we should
18		break. If it was going to be five minutes, I'd say
19		let's finish.
20		MR. HOLFORD: Let's go on break.
21		(Recess - noon.
22		* * * *
23		AFTERNOON SESSION: 1:25 P.M.
24		* * * *
25	Q	(By Mr. Holford) Now, Dr. Phelan, over the lunch, I

went through your depositions - copies -- I'm sorry
-- of the depositions of Bonnie, Marcus and Samuel
Allgood looking for places that you had made any
notes or underlinings. I really didn't see any
notes other than an asterisk here or there.

- A I remembered making a couple of notes, but I don't remember where they were at this point.
- Q You mean whether they were in these depositions?
- A No, no, they were in these depositions, but I just don't remember whereabouts in them. I just remember writing something in a margin.
- Q Well, is it true that anywhere in these depositions that you either underlined or made a note or any mark you turned down the page?
- 15 | A No, sir.

- 16 Q The corner of the page?
- 17 A Not always.
  - Q Well, I went through them and did find relatively few pages with some underlining on them. I turned down the corner. I'm not sure how we're going to for you to verify whether all the pages with your marks on them now have the corners turned down is that would take a while, wouldn't it? It took me over the lunch period.
  - A I'm sorry.

1 That's fine. Q I didn't even think about that. I know that often I 2 Α turn the corners down but not always. 3 4 0 Do you believe that you turned the corners down, first of all, in almost all of the places where you 5 marked on them? 6 I think, in the majority of the places. I don't 7 Α 8 know that I'd say almost all, but in the majority of places where I've underlined, I probably have also 9 10 turned down the corner. 11 Would it be true that in the places where you 0 underlined or made some mark that you considered 12 important that you turned down the page there? 13 Objection to the form. MR. BIERSTEKER: 14 15 Could you try that again? Try that one again. 16 Α 17 (By Mr. Holford) Well, was there any determining 0 factor that caused you to turn down the corner where 18 19 you did? Usually I just try to turn down corners when I'm 20 Α underlining. I don't always remember to do that. 21 22 That's simply to tell me where I've underlined, but 23 sometimes I just don't remember to turn down the 24 corner.

Well, in an instance where you were underlining on a

25

Q

page and you felt that that portion of the testimony 1 2 was particularly important, would you have turned 3 down the page then? 4 MR. RILEY: Asked and answered. 5 MR. BIERSTEKER: I join in that. 6 Α I tried to turn them down just to note where I've 7 done it. Some of them are going to be important. 8 Some of them are not going to be so important. 9 That's done for me as opposed to being a mixed bag. 10 done for anybody else. You understand? 11 Q Sure. 12 Α It's easier than taking notes. 13 Q All right. 14 MR. HOLFORD: All right, Mr. Biersteker, 15 will you or your firm here take all those pages in 16 the depositions that have the corners turned down 17 and provide copies for the parties and also Dr. 18 Phelan. Do I incur what - six cents a page for 19 that? 20 Well, I don't know what MR. BIERSTEKER: 21 the charge is, but I will be happy to make copies of 22 these pages. 23 THE WITNESS: Sorry if I ---24 MR. BIERSTEKER: That's all right. 25 will be happy to make copies of this and distribute

1 Six cents - is that what we agreed on 2 previously? 3 MR. HOLFORD: That's been throughout the 4 case, yes. 5 MR. BIERSTEKER: That's fine. I will 6 charge you six cents a page for the copies. 7 I would suggest to the extent that we have 8 three depositions here, four transcripts - four 9 volumes but three depositions, I would suggest that 10 we make at least each deposition and possibly each 11 transcript a separate exhibit so that we don't have 12 all of them running together. 13 MR. HOLFORD: Why don't we call Bonnie 14 Volume 1 2-A, Bonnie Volume 2 2-B, Marcus Allgood 15 2-C and Sam Allgood's workmen's comp deposition 2-D. 16 Also we can include the title page of each of those 17 volumes. 18 That's fine. MR. BIERSTEKER: I agree. 19 THE WITNESS: May I get these back then? 20 It's, like, my notes. 21 MR. HOLFORD: Yes, ma'am. 22 THE WITNESS: Okay, thank you. 23 0 (By Mr. Holford) Now, Dr. Phelan, is it your 24 opinion based on your experience and training that 25 any smoker of cigarettes is responsible for their

own decision to smoke and that that smoker is able 1 to quit smoking any time they decide to do so? 2 3 that your opinion? I believe anyone can quit smoking if they make up 4 Α 5 their minds to do that, yes, sir. 6 Q That's true of any smoker, in your opinion? 7 Α Yes, sir, it is. Also that any smoker was totally responsible for 8 0 9 their own decision to smoke? 10 Certainly any adult smoker is responsible for their Α decisions to smoke. As a child, you are subject to 11 12 peer pressures, and you're subject to wanting to be 13 grown up, and I can see all kinds of extenuating 14 circumstances for children smoking, but an adult 15 makes a conscious choice every time he lights up or 16 she lights up, and I think, by the same token, they 17 make a conscious choice to quit or continue smoking. 18 A child also lacks the judgment ability that an Q 19 adult has. Is that right? 20 They have very different kinds of criteria for Α 21 judgment, yes, sir. 22 Now, so are you saying, Dr. Phelan, that a smoker Q 23 who doesn't quit but continues to smoke until they 24 die - that that person has only themselves to blame

for their death?

MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of the question.

A I'm not saying they are a blame for their death.

I'm saying they are responsible for continuing

risk-taking behavior, and that is, in the case of a

smoker, smoking.

In the case of something else, whether it's taking - eating a lot of barbecue with the bark and charcoal on it, which has also been reported to be a risk-taking kind of behavior, they're responsible for doing that.

- Q But just about smokers cigarette smokers I'm saying, is it your opinion that when a cigarette smoker dies and there's no apparent other cause than a smoking-caused disease that they had that that person did it to themselves?
- A Well, I think we can't show that they died of a disease, and it's a smoking-caused disease. I think we can show that smoking may have contributed to whatever that disease is, but I don't think we can say definitively that this smoking caused your heart attack.

I think we can say that certainly smoking was a risk of certainly exacerbating any problems you have with your heart. If you're smart, you'll

1 stop smoking. So, see, I'm - I hold people 2 responsible for making the right choices because I 3 think we are clearly given freedom of choice. have to hold people responsible when they make very 4 bad choices unless they are of such low IQ they 5 6 can't really make a choice or unless they're so 7 mentally ill they cannot make a choice or brain 8 injured or any of those things. 9 Q Or they're a child? Or if they're a real little-bitty child, you bet. 10 A

11 Q How about a 13 year old?

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A Well, see, I think I was responsible for deciding to do that. Admittedly a lot of peer pressure, wanting to be a grown-up, having parents who smoked all those things led to my wanting to smoke.
- Q But a six, seven, eight year old that's a small child?
- A That's a small child. A six, seven, eight year old does the same things. They sometimes will choose to do things because their peers do it, or their parents do it, or they feel it makes them grown up.
- Q So you do believe that, say, a seven or eight year old, if they start smoking then, that they are, just like an adult, responsible for their decision to start smoking?

1 Α I'm not saying that. What I'm saying is there are a 2 multitude of facets that make them want to smoke at 3 those ages. That's all I'm saying. 4 All right. Now, let's talk about laryngeal cancer, Q 5 cancer of the larynx. Is that caused by smoking? 6 Α Certainly smoking is a risk factor. 7 Is smoking the primary risk factor for that? Q 8 Α I don't know because I think that there are other 9 things that have been called a risk factor. 10 example working in petrochemical industries are also a risk factor for such illnesses. 11 12 Q Do you know what chemicals would be involved as a 13 risk factor for laryngeal cancer? 14 I don't know all of them. No, I don't. Α 15 there are some that are simply because my husband 16 had been a chemist and had talked about some of 17 those things. 18 Anything you know about that you heard from your Q 19 husband? 20 From, yes, my husband, of those particular Α 21 chemicals. Do you recall any chemical that is - he said would 22 0 23 be a risk factor for laryngeal cancer? 24 No, sir, I remember several chemicals that he felt 25 could certainly affect the lungs, the throat and all

1 those that he talked about. 2 But you don't remember what they are? 0 3 Α Well, I remember one was something phosgene because 4 they were working on it in the laboratory. 5 gotten - something went wrong with the experiment, 6 and he got inundated with this, and he was very, 7 very ill, and we talked about it. 8 Did he say that was a cause of cancer in the Q respiratory tract? 9 10 He talked about it being a very powerful chemical Α 11 that could injure lungs. It might eat them up, right, but did he say it was a 12 Q 13 cause of cancer that you recall? 14 I don't remember whether he did or not. Α 15 guess, 40 years ago. 16 Q Now, Dr. Phelan, to the extent that a smoker who 17 dies - that their death was contributed to by their 18 cigarette smoking, do you say that, as far as that 19 contribution, they did it to themselves? 20 I don't think ---Α 21 MR. RILEY: Asked and answered. 22 Α I don't think they intended to do that to 23 themselves. I do think that they did intend to 24 smoke, and they made that choice every day they lit up a cigarette. 25

:

1 Q Well, let's - I mean, in -- do you think that 2 smokers today are fully aware of the risks of what 3 they're doing? 4 Of course. Α 5 0 So a smoker today who is aware that their chances of 6 getting, let's say, lung cancer are elevated greatly 7 over nonsmokers? 8 Yes, sir. Α 9 That's true, isn't it? Q 10 That's my understanding, yes, sir. Α 11 And that -- and of course the smoker's chances of 0 12 getting laryngeal cancer are also elevated greatly 13 over a nonsmoker? 14 That's my understanding. Α 15 0 And the smoker's chances of getting coronary heart 16 disease are elevated greatly over the chances of a 17 nonsmoker. Right? 18 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to form. 19 Those are one of the things that do that, yes, sir. Α 20 0 (By Mr. Holford) Certainly the chances of a smoker 21 getting emphysema are greatly increased over the 22 chances of a nonsmoker. Right? 23 Α That's my understanding. 24 0 So if a smoker then with those elevated chances 25 certainly has one of them realized, that is, they

1 get lung cancer and die of it, how much of a 2 responsibility on that smoker do you get for their 3 death? 4 Object to the form of MR. BIERSTEKER: 5 the question. 6 Α I smoked. I smoked for 20 years, two, three, 7 sometimes as much as four packs a day. I loved 8 smoking. If I get lung cancer -- and certainly my 9 behavior puts me at risk for that -- I have to blame 10 myself because I'm the one that chose to smoke. 11 also the one that chose to not smoke. I have to 12 both take the credit for stopping as well as the 13 blame if I didn't stop soon enough. 14 And is that your opinion as to any smoker who dies? Q 15 Α Absolutely. 16 0 All right, so now let's take a person who is such a 17 risk taker that they party ---18 Α Party hearty. 19 --- take a revolver and they put - say it's a six 0 20 shot and they put three bullets in it and leave 21 three chambers empty and they whirl the chamber and 22 they put it to their head and pull the trigger, is 23 that any different than what a smoker does, in your

opinion, when they continue to smoke?

Very different.

24

25

Α

Q How?

A It is very different for a number of reasons, but one of the most is that you are taking an immediate risk - you are doing an immediate risk factor.

You're going to pull a trigger, and you may die at that moment.

With smoking, you make the decision to smoke, and whatever payoff there is is way in the future, and we don't tend to think it's going to happen to us. So those are very different kind of things.

They are both responsible for their behavior. I'm going to hold the person who, unless he's blind drunk who picks up a gun, spins the chamber and pulls the trigger - I'm going to hold him responsible for his death. I'm going to blame the revolver, but it is a very different kind of experience than one who smokes now with the idea that they're now twenty or thirty, and they aren't even thinking about reaching my age. That's so far in the future that they don't believe it's going to happen to them. It's the proximity of the action to the consequences that really do determine how people think.

Q Well, have you stated what you believe are all the

1 differences between what the person with the gun 2 does and what a smoker does? 3 Α No, but that's one of the things. In fact that's 4 all I can think of right now. That's my big one. 5 Q Well, then let me put it this way, Dr. Phelan: 6 Let's talk about -- well, let me back up. 7 The diseases of, let's say, cancer - of lung 8 cancer, laryngeal cancer, bladder cancer, these 9 cancers that smoking is known to contribute to ---10 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of 11 the question. 12 Q Is that right? 13 Α Well, that's what I hear. Some people believe that that is a factor. It's a risk factor. 14 15 You don't believe - I thought -- well, okay, you Q 16 don't believe that smoking contributes to lung 17 cancer, laryngeal cancer? 18 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of 19 the question in particular insofar as it calls for a 20 legal conclusion as to what a contributing cause is, 21 and the prior testimony was about increases your 22 chances of and things like that, that it was not 23 inconsistent. This is a somewhat different 24 question. 25 MR. RILEY: You are asking for her

1 personal opinion now, as I understand it? 2 MR. HOLFORD: I'm asking Dr. Phelan as 3 she sits here. 4 MR. RILEY: Her personal opinion or a 5 medical opinion? 6 MR. HOLFORD: I'm asking Dr. Caren 7 Phelan as she sits here. I'm not required to do 8 anything but ask the doctor questions. 9 MR. RILEY: Then I object to the form of 10 the question. 11 MR. HOLFORD: Okay. 12 Α Let me go back to what the question was. 13 I believe that smoking is risk-taking 14 behavior and that it does play a part -- I don't 15 know great, large, small, but it definitely plays a 16 part in a number of different body illnesses, and I 17 don't know how much it plays, but for me it's not 18 worth the risk. 19 Is it your opinion that for any smoker it should not 0 20 be worth the risk? 21 MR. RILEY: Object to form of the 22 question. 23 Α I'm going to leave that up to everybody to make 24 their own decision about that. I certainly make 25 that decision for me, but I'm not going to make it

1 for anybody else.

- Q (By Mr. Holford) But you do, in your professional viewpoint, blame a smoker who dies of a smoking-related disease because they made their own decision to continue smoking. That's what you think?
- A That's a value judgment. I do not make value judgments. I don't blame people. I don't judge people. I say this is the consequence. You are responsible for taking and making your own choices. I don't blame you. I'm not saying shame on you for doing something. It's not a moral issue. It's a risk-taking behavior that I will hold you responsible for.
- Q A smoker in your opinion, a smoker who dies of a smoking-related disease is responsible for their death to the extent it was caused by their smoking?
- A A person who is a smoker who continues smoking is certainly the one who is responsible for continuing the risk of getting something.
- Q What do we in this -- let me back up. What do you call the activity of a person who makes their own decision to continue an activity which they know their chances of dying from that activity are greatly elevated over the people who don't do that

activity and they die from it - what do we call 1 2 What do you call that activity? 3 I object to the form of the MR. RILEY: 4 question. 5 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form. 6 Α Risk-taking behavior. 7 How does it differ from suicide? 0 Suicides are - there are a number of ways it differs 8 Α 9 from suicide, but there are also some similarities. 10 You make a conscious decision to jump off a bridge 11 and end your life, and the risk-taking behavior is 12 going over there to the bridge and jumping off of 13 it. You may or may not die. I certainly will hold 14 you responsible for that. I can be sorry. I can 15 feel it was a tragedy. I can grieve over it, but it 16 was your choice to go on that bridge and jump off 17 and kill yourself. In the same way, you hold smokers responsible for 18 Q 19 their own death. Right? 20 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 21 question. 22 Object to the form of MR. BIERSTEKER: 23 the question. 24 If they die from ---0 25 It mischaracterizes the MR. RILEY:

testimony.

- A I was going to say I hold them responsible for choosing to continue to smoke. I don't hold people responsible for their own death. The good Lord takes us when he takes us, but I hold people responsible for their behavior. If I drive down the road at a hundred fifty miles an hour and a truck crashes into me, I'm responsible for that, not the truck.
- Q But if a smoker, in your opinion, decides to continue smoking and they do in fact die as a result, you're holding them responsible for their continuing to smoke includes their dying, doesn't it?
- A That's the consequence of their taking the responsibility to continue smoking. There is there's a grave difference in my philosophy from the way you're stating it. My philosophy says there are consequences natural consequences in this world for every behavior we have and that we as free-thinking individuals are responsible when we take actions for those consequences, and that's just the way it is.
- Q Now, who else is responsible for that smoker's death?

1 A The smoker is responsible for continuing risk-taking
2 behavior.
3 Q All right.
4 A And if he dies from smoking or if he's run over by a

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A And if he dies from smoking or if he's run over by a truck, he dies.
- Q We're talking about if he dies when he dies from smoking, all right, and you're saying that's his own responsibility. Right?
- A If he knows that there is a risk to continue to smoke or eating chocolates because he's a diabetic if he knows or she knows there's a risk about that and he's an adult that can make the decision or she's an adult that can make a sane decision, then they are responsible for having made that choice, and whatever consequences come, come.
- Q All right, and I believe you already said, though, that an adult in this era, in your opinion, does know these risks of smoking that we've discussed?
- A Unless they don't speak English and don't read it.

  In this country, I don't see how you could not know.
- Q So the analogy between what, in your opinion, a smoker who dies from the smoking he has done is not much different than a person who jumps off a bridge and dies?

25 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of

1		the question.
2		MR. RILEY: I object.
3		MR. BIERSTEKER: Also asked and
4		answered.
5		MR. RILEY: Mischaracterizes the
6		witness' testimony.
7	A	I was going to say I thought I answered that one.
8	Q	(By Mr. Holford) How different is it, if it's
9		different?
10		MR. RILEY: She's already explained
11		that.
12	A	I think I've already explained it. I really do. I
13		think I answered that awhile back. Maybe I could
14		get the gentleman to read it back.
15	Q	I think you answered that there were some
16		differences and some similarities, and I guess
17	A	That was in some context of another question. I
18		don't remember which one it was now, but it was
19		another question. Certainly you do choose to go out
20		here and jump off a bridge.
21	Q	You choose to smoke?
22	A	You choose to smoke.
23	Q	That's your opinion. Right?
24	A	That is my opinion, yes, sir.
25	Q	Any other similarities?
	1	

1 Α I don't know. Right now that's - those are the two 2 that come to my mind since we're talking about 3 freedom of choice. 4 And the person that smokes in fact may or may not O 5 die from that smoking. Right? 6 Α I don't know. 7 Might get run over by a truck? Q 8 Α That's right. 9 0 Okay, and the person who jumps off the bridge may 10 land by their feet and swim up to shore, or they may 11 break their neck and die. Right? 12 Α That's true. That's all true. 13 Q So are not the two things quite similar? 14 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 15 question. 16 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form. 17 MR. RILEY: Again, it mischaracterizes 18 the witness' testimony. 19 Α It's the same philosophy around choosing. 20 far as I would want to go. You have some freedom of 21 choice. 22 (By Mr. Holford) But I mean the two ---Q 23 Α Unless you're spaced out on a hallucinogenic. 24 No, we're talking about a ---Q 25 Then you have some kind of freedom of choice. Α

1 0 All right, and so tell me how - tell me now how it's 2 different, the smoker and the person who is on the 3 bridge. MR. RILEY: Asked and answered. 4 5 Α I'm not sure. I don't know. I'll give it some 6 thought. 7 I'm asking you to give it thought now. Q 8 Α Well, probably take me longer than that. 9 Is it something you cannot figure out? 0 10 No, I'm sure I can figure it out, but I am not going Α 11 to do it right now because it will take me some time 12 to think about it. I don't want to answer something 13 hastily that I need some time to think about. 14 That's the purpose of this deposition, Dr. Phelan, Q 15 for me to find out what you think. 16 I understand that, and it's also my choice to be Α 17 taking more time to think carefully about my answers 18 before I give you erroneous ones that I have not 19 thought through. 20 Q I don't want an erroneous one or one you haven't 21 thought through. 22 That's right. Α 23 Q Please think through it. It's only three minutes to 24 We've had lunch. 2. 25 Α I understand. I have no intentions of answering

1 that right now.

Q Why not?

- A Because I said I wanted to take some time and think about it.
  - Q Well, I'm asking you to answer it. I'll give you as -- it's not me giving you. You're entitled to take as much time as you would like to think about it.
  - A Thank you.
  - Q If you'd give me some idea of why it's difficult for you, I might go on to another question, but right now I don't see it.
  - A It's not something that I've thought of before, and I need to spend some time thinking about it.

There are other issues I've talked about today that I have thought about a great deal and feel quite confident that I'm giving you my real opinions and feelings about it, but this is one I really hadn't thought of, and I would want more time to think about it.

- Q I don't really know what you mean by "more time." I mean, if you need five minutes, ten minutes, 15 minutes, I think I could handle that within my planned time frame.
- A I want more time than that. Thank you.
- Q Well, all right, let me try this try -- you didn't

- 1 see my card, but I'm a mediator as well as a trial 2 lawyer. 3
  - So am I. Oh, good. Α
- 4 I did see that on your CV. Q
- 5 Α Yes, and I belong to the Texas mediation group.
- 6 Q Right. So we know that we try to resolve things 7 rather than stick on them. Right?
- 8 Α Absolutely, not always possible, but we do try.
- 9 0 Well, yes, we know that also, don't we?
- 10 Yes, sir, we sure do. Α
- 11 Q Not always. Now, we have this smoker, and I'm 12 talking about a 40, 50 year old smoker, not a 13 beginner. Okay?
- 14 Okay, someone my age maybe or a little younger. A
- 15 Even maybe - yeah. Okay, now, they've been smoking Q 16 all these 40 years or so, and they're smoking, well, 17 somewhere between a pack and a half to three packs a 18 day.
- 19 Α Uh-huh. Excuse me. Yes, sir.
- 20 And, now, first of all, in your opinion, every smoke 0 21 that smoker takes is their own freewill decision.
- 22 Right?
- 23 Α It's their choice.
- 24 And so they - that smoker continues and then at, 0
- 25 say, 57 or something, you know, 58 or something -- I

- don't want to get to your age.
- 2 A Not that old. I understand.
- 3 Q That smoker gets laryngeal cancer and dies from it.
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- 5 Q Some big blood vessel bursts. Okay?
- 6 A Yes, sir.
- Now, on the other hand, we have a the twin brother ---
- 9 A Okay, another 57 year old male.
- Q --- who never smoked but has seen a lot of life and
  maybe too much, and that person is on this bridge
  going over a river, and for a long time they the
  person stands there and then decides to and does
  jump.
- 15 A Yes, sir.
- Q Now, if we look at the smoker just before he's diagnosed with the laryngeal cancer and we look at the person jumping off the bridge just as he clears the guardrail ---
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q --- regarding your theory of the free choice of individuals ---
- 23 A Yes, sir.
- Q --- are these two people acting any different?
- 25 A Well ---

I object to the form. 1 MR. DAVID: 2 I object to the form of MR. BIERSTEKER: 3 the question before you answer it. Go ahead. I just thought of one major difference. I would 4 Α suspect - although it may not be true, but I would 5 6 suspect that the person on the bridge may also be 7 suffering from a major mental illness, i.e., major 8 depression. 9 Q Not in my hypo, Dr. Phelan. 10 Well, in my hypothetical ---Α 11 MR. BIERSTEKER: In your hypothetical --12 let me get this straight. In your hypothetical, a 13 perfectly sane, rational human being goes and stands 14 on the bridge and decides to jump off with no 15 depression or nothing else? 16 MR. HOLFORD: In my hypothetical, this 17 person does not have - this 57 year old person, 18 okay, with lots of family and financial problems is 19 not to the point of clinical depression yet, no. 20 Α See, in mine, he would be because I've not seen a 21 suicide -- and I've unfortunately seen many in my 22 dealing with patients. I've not seen one who wasn't 23 either having a major depression recurrent or was 24 bipolar with a depressive disorder or was having a

major depression single episode. I mean, they're

always in some kind of terrible depression.

Q (By Mr. Holford) So do you then conclude that the smoker who is approaching their sixties and knows -- and this is your opinion, right -- they know about the risk of what they're doing, that they're always in a single-episode depression or they're in a depressive element of ---

MR. BIERSTEKER: I object to the form of the question because it is so clearly contrary to the prior testimony. The doctor identified depression as a distinguishing characteristic between jumping off a bridge and cigarette smoking. Now you are asking her if it's the same.

MR. HOLFORD: I object to - at this point in this deposition, I'm going to lay an objection to these talking objections. Again, I might do it once or twice in a deposition, and I get an accolade of remarks and colloquy and so on. So I'm going to take that privilege and raise that here now.

Q (By Mr. Holford) Now, Dr. Phelan, then how do you justify not saying that the cigarette smoker is in some way depressed when you know that, in your experience, the person about to jump off the - that jumps off the bridge is?

- A They're two different things. They're entirely two different things.
- 3 | Q How are they different?
  - A The person who smokes may also be depress in the throes of depression, but not it's not necessarily so. So those two things are not one is not dependent upon the other to occur. You could be a smoker with a long-time habit of smoking and not be depressed. You can also be depressed and also be a long-time smoker.
  - Q Well, let's take the ---
  - A But a person who is about to commit suicide, in my experience, has always been a depressed individual.
- 14 Q Yes, but let's take a smoker who is not depressed.
- 15 A Okay.

- Q All right, this smoker who is 57 and has a 40-year history and knows the risks that they're running how does your explanation that they are making a freewill choice explain the fact that the person is about to kill themselves?
- A They don't think it's going to happen to them.

  They're like all of us. It's going to happen to somebody else. I'm not going to have the car wreck.

  It's going to happen to somebody else.
- Q What makes a smoker think that?

You're asking what makes human beings feel that. 1 Α 2 Human beings love to rationalize that they're not 3 going to have anything happen to them, that there 4 will always be a cure for everything. 5 In this country, we think that we are 6 invincible and that we won't die. We don't want to 7 think that all of us are going to end up out here 8 pushing up daisies. So we don't think about it. 9 It's what allows us to go through our days. It is 10 what allows us to live without fear of dying. That's your experience of what - how adult smokers 11 Q 12 think? 13 That's my experience with how people think. Α 14 Including adult smokers? Q 15 Α That includes everybody that I have dealt with. 16 0 Now, Dr. Phelan, what is it in a smoker that 17 makes them think that way? 18 Α Because they love to smoke. 19 MR. RILEY: Asked and answered. 20 They love to smoke. Α 21 That's it in your opinion. They just like the way Q 22 the hot embers go down their throat? 23 MR. BIERSTEKER: Objection to the form 24 of the question. 25 Α I loved it. I loved smoking. I can smell a

cigarette today, and I still love smoking, but I'm 1 not going to risk getting anything by smoking. 2 Okay? It's risk-taking behavior, and I choose not 3 to participate, but smokers love to smoke. 4 love the taste of it. They like the ability to feel 5 more relaxed, or they like whatever it is that it 6 7 does for them. Do you know the risk to a 57 year old smoker of 8 Q 9 dying in the next ten years? If you're 57, I suspect your chances of dying in the 10 Α next ten years are probably great. I hope it's 11 longer than nearer, but when you are 57, ten years 12 down the road makes you 67, and that's getting 13 14 older. I asked you about a 57 year old smoker. 15 Q 16 Α Okay. Does the fact that they're smoking at 57 make any 17 Q difference in their likelihood of dying in the next 18 19 ten years? 20 Α I said I think it's a risk-taking behavior that's not worth it. Yes, I'm sure it contributes 21 22 sometimes. So in your opinion as you see cigarette smoking, you 23 Q don't conclude that a smoker who dies from smoking 24 25 is committing suicide?

1 I don't make a value judgment about it at all. All Α I say is that they should have quit if that's the 2 3 cause of death. 4 Q All right, have you heard or read the Center for 5 Disease Control's publication of smoking-caused 6 deaths in this country as being on the - over 7 400,000 a year? 8 Object to the form of MR. BIERSTEKER: 9 the question. 10 Α I have read different, you know, things that have 11 been published by various companies. I don't know 12 if I read that or not. 13 Do you have any reason to disagree ---Q 14 Of course not. Α 15 --- with the CDC's opinion - the CDC's published Q 16 figures on smoking-caused deaths? 17 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form. 18 Α I don't know anything about it. So -- how they 19 gathered it or anything else. So why should I 20 disagree? 21 (By Mr. Holford) Well, so then I have to put it to Q 22 you as an if. If over 400,000 Americans die each 23 year from smoking, is it your opinion then that each 24 and every one of them is responsible for their own 25 death?

1		MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of
2		the question, also asked and answered.
3	A	I believe everyone has the choice for themselves to
4		make. If they wish to continue with that
5		risk-taking behavior or if they wish to stop it, I
6		believe they have the ability as a thinking,
7		rational human being to make that choice, and I hold
8		them responsible for choices they make.
9		MR. HOLFORD: Let's mark this as Exhibit
10		3.
11		(Phelan Exhibit No. 3 marked for
12		identification.
13		(Discussion off the record.
14	Q	(By Mr. Holford) Dr. Phelan, I show you what's been
15		marked as Exhibit 3. Do you recognize that?
16	A	I've not seen this before.
17	Q	Did you just read the second and third pages, the
18		little bits that are
19	A	The little bits that pertain to me, yes, yes.
20	Q	Have you - has that been reviewed with you?
21	A	They asked me for my opinion. This is my opinion.
22	Q	You say this is your opinion - what's printed in
23		Exhibit 3?
24	A	Yes, sir, yes.
25	Q	All right, so Jones-Day drafted that and sent it to

	1	
1		you, or maybe they didn't send it to you?
2	A	I was going to say I don't remember seeing this. I
3		certainly told them this is my opinion.
4	Q	When you say you didn't remember seeing this, you
5		mean the first page of Exhibit 3?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	But the second and third pages of Exhibit 3 contain
8		your opinions?
9	A	This is exactly what I - yes, exactly what I said.
10	Q	Now, do the second and third pages here actually
11		well, let me start over.
12		MR. HOLFORD: Let's mark this as Exhibit
13		4.
14		(Phelan Exhibit No. 4 marked for
15		identification.
16	Q	(By Mr. Holford) I show you what's been marked
17		Exhibit 4. That's my markings on it, Dr. Phelan.
18		Can you tell what I've done?
19	A	Yes, sir, it looks like you've apportioned off parts
20	•	of what I've said and made it 1, 2 and 3 points.
21	Q	Right. Does it appear that I have kind of cut out
22		your three opinions that you had stated there?
23	A	No, I think it's a neat way of putting it, probably
24		neater than I said it, yes.
25	Q	Maybe "cut out" was the wrong term. Have I
	1	

- delineated each of the three opinions that you stated?

  A Yes, sir, and I said I think you've done a bet
  - A Yes, sir, and I said I think you've done a better job of doing it than I did.
  - Q And I numbered them 1, 2 and 3. Right?
- 6 A Yes, sir.

- Q Now, does Exhibit 4 state all of the opinions that you presently expect to state at the trial of this case?
  - A I don't know that it does all, but certainly this reflects what I felt about that the issue of Mr.

    Allgood and his smoking. I don't know that it's all of the opinions because I may come up with some more. I don't know.
  - Q Well, that's why I said presently.
  - A At this present moment, this is all I this captures the way I believe about Mr. Allgood and his smoking habit. Whether or not I would come up with additional opinions about things at the time of the trial, which is a long way away, I'm not going to say I won't. I may.
  - Q If you do, you'll tell them to Jones-Day?
  - A Absolutely, and they will tell you, I'm sure.
- Q You understand that I may be entitled to come back for further deposition if that happens?

1 Α Yes, sir. 2 0 I like to come to Austin. 3 A I don't blame you. I love it. 4 0 Now, I've got a book here. I think we've gone over 5 this some. 6 Α Yes, sir. 7 Let me just read it, though, and ask you. 0 8 agree with this statement at Page 7 of the 1988 9 surgeon general's report, "Criteria for drug 10 dependence, primary criteria, highly controlled or 11 compulsive use, psychoactive effects, 12 drug-reinforced behavior. The primary criteria 13 listed above are sufficient to define drug 14 dependence"? 15 I do not. 16 MR. RILEY: Let me get an objection on 17 the record here, Doctor, which is that this has 18 already been gone through exhaustively this morning. 19 This in fact is the book that Mr. Holford was 20 reading from this morning, and we're just rehashing 21 old ground. I object. 22 MR. BIERSTEKER: I also object to the 23 form. 24 As I said, I don't think that's sufficient, no. Ι 25 thought I answered all the other stuff about it

1 earlier. (By Mr. Holford) So you disagree with what I just 2 3 read? 4 Α I don't agree with it. I don't disagree with what 5 you read. It's there. You read it. I am just 6 saying I don't agree with that. It's not enough for 7 me. All right, are you meaning there you have some 8 Q 9 additional criteria for defining drug dependence? 10 Α Yes, sir. 11 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form, 12 asked and answered. 13 (By Mr. Holford) What are those? Q I thought I went through it this morning. 14 Α 15 I've already answered that. 16 So you've already identified in your testimony 0 17 before now in this deposition any additional 18 criteria that you feel are needed for defining drug 19 dependence. Is that right? 20 MR. RILEY: She's responded to your 21 questions, Doug. 22 Α You know, I don't know at this point. I just know I 23 answered a lot about that clause you read or 24 definition you read this morning, and I thought I 25 answered everything about it.

1 Well, that's what I'm trying to determine. Q 2 answered everything about it that you know? 3 Α I don't know. I don't know. I don't remember 4 verbatim what I said. You want him to read it back 5 to me? 6 Q Well, but you believe you've answered my questions 7 up to now. Right? 8 I answered all the questions you asked me regarding Α 9 it in the morning, yes, sir. 10 So if I asked you before about your criteria for Q 11 drug dependence, you've given the fullest answer you 12 have earlier today? 13 Α I thought I did. I said, you know -- I address it 14 differently, yes, sir. 15 Q The answer to my question is yes? 16 Α Yes, I think I did. 17 Q Now, let me ask you about this statement at Page 9 18 of the 1988 surgeon general's report. This is 19 titled, "Major Conclusions: 1) Cigarettes and other 20 forms of tobacco are addicting. 2) Nicotine is the 21 drug in tobacco that causes addiction. 22 pharmacologic and behavioral processes that 23 determine tobacco addiction are similar to those 24 that determine addiction to drugs such as heroin and

cocaine."

1 Disagree strongly. Α 2 Object to the form. MR. BIERSTEKER: 3 Q With each part of it? 4 I certainly disagree that it is the same as the Α 5 major drugs that you read. You dis ---6 0 7 Α I disagree strongly. 8 You also disagree that nicotine is the drug in Q 9 tobacco that causes addiction. Right? 10 Α I don't know whether that does or not. That's a 11 medical issue, as I tried to tell you this morning, 12 that I'm not prepared to respond to. I think you best ask a doctor. I think the first statement you 13 14 made ---15 Q "Cigarettes and other forms of tobacco are 16 addicting." 17 Α I disagree with that. I disagree with the first and 18 the last vehemently. 19 Q As to the statement, "Nicotine is the drug in 20 tobacco that causes addiction," you don't have any 21 expertise to enable you to answer that. 22 right? I don't ---23 Α 24 MR. RILEY: Let me just object to the 25 form.

1 MR. BIERSTEKER: Also we went through 2 the whole nicotine versus cigarette smoking thing 3 this morning as well. 4 When you say just nicotine, I don't know if pure Α 5 nicotine has some kind of properties or not. think that's a medical or a biological - a 6 7 biochemist's field of expertise, and I'd rather they 8 answered that for you. 9 When you say do I believe that cigarettes 10 are addictive, the answer is no. 11 (By Mr. Holford) Well, okay. Now, I just need a Q 12 clear answer to this, Dr. Phelan. 13 Α I thought I was giving it to you. 14 MR. BIERSTEKER: I thought that was 15 plenty plain. 16 This second statement here, "Nicotine is the drug in Q 17 tobacco that causes addiction," do you have 18 expertise to answer that? 19 MR. BIERSTEKER: Let me interpose an 20 objection on several grounds. I'm not trying to 21 give you a speaking objection. Number one is the 22 question itself assumes cigarettes or tobacco ---23 MR. HOLFORD: This is a speaking 24 objection. Why don't you just state the objections? 25 MR. BIERSTEKER: Fine. Object to the

1 form of the question. It assumes facts not in 2 evidence, and it's been asked and answered. 3 MR. HOLFORD: Thank you. 4 MR. BIERSTEKER: You're welcome. 5 Q (By Mr. Holford) Do you remember my question, or do 6 you want me to say it again? He can just say, "Same 7 objections." 8 You know, same objections, yeah. Α 9 MR. RILEY: Why don't we just read it 10 back. 11 MR. HOLFORD: Yeah, okay, as to the 12 statement nicotine. 13 MR. RILEY: Do it however you want. 14 MR. HOLFORD: All right, read it back. 15 (The last question was read by 16 the reporter. 17 Α I said I don't think that cigarettes are addictive. I don't buy that way of thinking. So I can't really 18 19 answer that question. 20 Now, if you're talking about pure nicotine, 21 again, I go back to that's a medical issue or a 22 biochemical issue, and they should answer - the 23 biochemists and physicians should answer those 24 questions because I really don't know about pure 25 nicotine. It may be, or it may not be, but I don't

1 believe that cigarettes are addictive. 2 (By Mr. Holford) Well, that was the first 0 3 statement. Right? 4 Α That's right. So I don't buy the second statement. 5 If I don't believe they're addictive in the first 6 statement, I can't assume addiction in the second 7 statement. 8 Well, assume isn't what we're after, Dr. Phelan. Q Ι 9 believe you referred me to a physician on this 10 second point, didn't you? 11 Α Well, that's why I was saying I didn't know -- well, 12 let me go back. 13 When you asked that second question, I 14 didn't know if you were talking about pure nicotine 15 as you find in a laboratory or if we were talking 16 about a lesser amount. I mean, I really didn't 17 know. If we're talking about pure nicotine in the 18 laboratory, you got to go to a physician or a 19 biochemist because I'm not an expert in that area. 20 If you're saying do I believe that the 21 nicotine in cigarettes makes them addictive, the 22 answer is no, sir, I don't. 23 Because of the amount of it? Q 24 I don't believe it is for I don't know what reason, Α 25 whether it's amount or what, but I do not believe

1		they're addictive.
2	Q	But as to nicotine itself and any effects it has,
3		you're not an expert. Right?
4	A	To deal with it in the laboratory, you got to talk
5		to a biochemist. You got to talk to a physician.
6	Q	Okay. Well, whether you're in a laboratory setting
7		or not, if you're talking just about nicotine and
8	-	its effects, you're not an expert in that?
9		MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of
10	i	the question.
11		MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the
12		question.
13		MR. BIERSTEKER: All effects, medical
14		effects, central nervous system effects,
15		psychological effects?
16		MR. HOLFORD: Object to counsel
17		testifying.
18		MR. BIERSTEKER: I'm not testifying; I'm
19		objecting.
20	Q	(By Mr. Holford) You are not a expert in that.
21		Right?
22	A	I'm not saying or presenting myself as an expert in
23		terms of the chemistry of nicotine in the
24		laboratory. I am saying that I do not believe a
25		cigarette that contains nicotine is addictive.
	1	

	1	<b>'</b>
1	Q	Why do you insist on the laboratory setting?
2		Nicotine occurs - nicotine is part of a tobacco leaf
3		out in the field, isn't it?
4	A	Has to be processed.
5	Q	You've heard of nicotine toxicity in tobacco farm
6		workers, haven't you?
7	A	Yes, but they smoke it. They do all kinds of things
8		in curing it. What I'm saying is that I do not
9		believe a cigarette that has nicotine in it is
10		addictive.
11	Q	You said that plenty of times here today, Dr.
12		Phelan.
13	A	And I believe it; I believe it.
14	Q	And I understand that.
15		MR. BIERSTEKER: You're just asking the
16		same question plenty of times.
17		MR. HOLFORD: Well, I can't get an
18		answer to the question I'm asking here
19		MR. BIERSTEKER: I think you've gotten
20		the answer.
21		MR. HOLFORD: about nicotine.
22		MR. BIERSTEKER: That's because your
23		question is almost impossible. You just keep asking
24		about nicotine. You don't qualify it in any way.
25		That's why I was trying to help you, and when I

1 tried to help you ---2 MR. HOLFORD: All right. 3 MR. BIERSTEKER: --- I got told I was 4 making a speaking objection. 5 (By Mr. Holford) Here is the statement here, Dr. Q 6 Phelan, that is in the surgeon general's report 1988 7 on nicotine addiction. 8 Α Yes, sir. 9 It's on Page 9. I'm just going to read it again to Q 10 you. I just want to know do you agree with it or 11 not or you don't have expertise to say yea or nay? 12 Okay? 13 Α Yes, sir. 14 0 "2) Nicotine is the drug in tobacco that causes 15 addiction." 16 MR. RILEY: Object, asked and answered. 17 Α I have answered a dozen times. I don't know how 18 else to answer it. I honest to God don't. 19 Do you agree with that or not? Q 20 Α It's not a yes or no answer for me. That's why I 21 tried to answer it so that I could be honestly 22 stating how I feel, and I don't know any other way 23 to put it. It's just not a yes or no answer to me. 24 Do you have expertise to answer it? Q 25 I feel I have plenty of expertise to talk about Α

1		cigarettes and smoking, yes, sir.				
2	Q	Well, let's see. I don't think that statement				
3		includes cigarettes, and I don't think that				
4		statement by itself includes smoking.				
5		MR. BIERSTEKER: Includes tobacco.				
6	Q	It says, "Nicotine is the drug in tobacco that				
7		causes addiction."				
8		MR. BIERSTEKER: Why don't you				
9		MR. RILEY: Is that statement not				
10		talking about cigarettes, Doug?				
11		MR. HOLFORD: Oh, I think the whole book				
12		is talking				
13		MR. RILEY: You just said it didn't				
14	į	refer to cigarettes.				
15		MR. HOLFORD: Well, I'm not being				
16		questioned here, Mr. Riley, but I'll tell you that				
17		the word "cigarette" is not in that statement.				
18		MR. BIERSTEKER: That we can agree on.				
19		MR. HOLFORD: Isn't that what I said?				
20		MR. RILEY: I agree with that, but is				
21		that not referring to cigarettes?				
22	Q	(By Mr. Holford) Now, Dr. Phelan, what is your				
23		difficulty you told me plainly you disagree with				
24		the first paragraph. "Cigarettes and other forms of				
25		tobacco are addicting."				
	ì					

	j	
1	A	Let me just say I disagree with the whole thing.
2		That will ease it. I just
3	Q	Including, "Nicotine is the drug in tobacco that
4		causes addiction." You disagree with that?
5	A	I disagree with it being an addictive - that
6		cigarettes are addictive. So if we're talking about
7		the tobacco that goes into cigarettes, which is what
8		I understood we were talking about, I do not agree
9		that it's addictive. I do believe it's a very nasty
10		habit. I don't know anything else to say.
11	Q	You disagree with all three statements that I read
12		from Page 9 of the 1988 surgeon general's report?
13		MR. BIERSTEKER: Objection.
14		MR. RILEY: Objection.
15		MR. BIERSTEKER: Doug?
16		MR. RILEY: I don't recall three
17		statements.
18		MR. HOLFORD: I'll just read them again
19		then.
20	Q	"Cigarettes and other forms of tobacco are
21		addicting. Nicotine is the drug in tobacco that
22		causes addiction."
23		MR. RILEY: Okay, I'm sorry. You
24		refreshed my memory.
25		MR. HOLFORD: Okay.
	I	

1 Q (By Mr. Holford) Then about the "pharmacologic and 2 behavioral processes that determine tobacco addiction are similar to those that determine 3 4 addiction to drugs such as heroin or cocaine." 5 You're testifying here today that you disagree with 6 each of those statements. Right? 7 MR. RILEY: Object. I think this is 8 approaching the point of being badgering and 9 abusive. You may answer. 10 Α I just don't know how else to answer it. I tried to 11 really answer it as honestly as I could about how I 12 believe. I don't know any other way to do it. if that hasn't - if I haven't made myself 13 14 understood, I'm sorry, and I apologize, but I don't 15 know any other way to do it. 16 (By Mr. Holford) Well, I thought you made a Q 17 conclusion here that you disagree with all of these 18 statements? 19 Α I have said I do not believe that cigarettes are 20 addicting, and if you're talking about the nicotine 21 that's in cigarettes as part of that whole thing --22 and I understood it was all on cigarettes -- I had 23 to say I disagree with it. 24 The whole three statements. 0 Right?

That I disagree that cigarettes are addictive, that

25

Α

I don't want to

1 they are similar to - that they are similar to 2 They're not anywhere near like drugs. Ask 3 anyone who treated heroin addicts or any other kind 4 of drug addicts. They're nothing like that. 5 Q And you also believe that nicotine - you disagree 6 that nicotine is what in tobacco that causes 7 addiction? 8 MR. BIERSTEKER: Objection. I don't believe cigarettes are addictive. 9 Α know about the nicotine itself. 10 11 answer that because I know it can be extracted from 12 tobacco in pure form, and it may or may not in pure 13 form be addictive. That's what I keep referring you 14 to a biochemist or to a physician to determine. 15 Q Now, how do you characterize Sam All right. 16 Allgood's smoking as I am about to summarize? 17 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 18 question. 19 Q The first cigarette at about six, by 17 smoking one 20 to two packs a week, then dropped out of high school 21 after the eleventh grade and joined the Navy, and so 22 by 19, which is 1949, he's smoking one and a half or 23 more packs a day, which he continues to smoke until 24 1987, that he would often wake up at night to smoke

and be wakened up and smoke, that he smoked the

first thing on awakening, that he bought cigarettes 1 2 ten cartons at a time, and he kept ten cartons in his freezer, kept two packs in his lunch kit, two 3 4 packs in his truck glove compartment, packs in his wife's purse when she was out with him and smoked 5 despite any warnings that he ever received. 6 7 I object to the form. MR. BIERSTEKER: MR. RILEY: What's the question? 8 9 MR. BIERSTEKER: What's the question? 10 Α What's your question? 11 (By Mr. Holford) How do you characterize Sam 0 12 Allgood's smoking? 13 MR. RILEY: Object to the form. I still object. 14 MR. BIERSTEKER: 15 assumes there is a characterization. With respect 16 to what? 17 I was going to say I don't know what ---Α 18 MR. BIERSTEKER: Is it a lot; is it not a lot; did it go over a long time? 19 20 (By Mr. Holford) Any way you wish to, Dr. Phelan. 0 21 Α Well, I would say, in some ways, he's kind of 22 typical of people of our genre. He's my compatriot. 23 He's, I believe, maybe a year younger than I am. He's typical of kids of that age. I was smoking 24 25 more than he was when I was 15. You know, I was

already up to a pack, pack and a half a day by the time I was 15. That's what we did in those days. Everybody smoked. All the Hollywood stars smoked. Our parents all smoked. Everybody smoked. It's what made kids grown up. That's why we smoked, and, hey, how many times at night I've had to get up and go to the bathroom, and I'd light a cigarette on the way. I loved those cigarettes in the middle of the night. They taste wonderful. You just love them.

The first thing I did in the morning when my feet hit the floor, I'd light up a cigarette.

That's what cigarette smokers did in those days. We didn't smoke filters. We liked tobacco. We smoked because our peers smoked. Our parents smoked. The world smoked. Then as we learned they were dangerous, those of us who had sense - we stopped.

- Q Sam Allgood didn't have sense?
- A Sam Allgood didn't use good sense.
- Q Is that it?
- A In my opinion, he did not use good common sense. He rationalized. He denied. He also had that good old American feeling it can't happen to me.
- Q Tell me when you're through.
- A I think that about sums it up.
- Q Okay. All right, now, if you look at Exhibit 4 -

1 you have it there in front of you? 2 Α Yes, sir. 3 Q Item No. 1 - have you told us everything today that 4 you have to say on that? 5 I think so. Α 6 Q Item No. 2 - the same thing? 7 Α I think so. 8 Now, Item No. 3 - is it your opinion -- you've read 0 9 Bonnie Allgood's deposition? 10 Yes, sir. Α 11 All about when Sam stopped smoking. Right? Q 12 Α Yes, yes. 13 Q Is it your opinion that Sam stopped smoking purely 14 as a matter of his own personal decision to do so? 15 Α Sure. 16 Was there anything that you think entered into that Q 17 decision? 18 Α Sure, finally he got the board between the eyes. Нe 19 found out he had cancer. My mother's first heart 20 attack she had a cigarette in her hand. She never 21 smoked again. Those are the boards between the eyes 22 when you finally realize it can happen to me, and 23 those are the things that make us stop doing our 24 self-destructive behaviors. 25 0 Did you see in any of the depositions you read

1 anything about Sam Allgood being fearful of cancer? 2 Α Yes, I did. 3 0 What was that? 4 Α Understood from his wife that he was very afraid of 5 cancer because there had been a great deal of it in 6 his family. I think his father died of cancer. 7 Q Right? 8 : Α That's my understanding. I thought there was one of 9 those uncles that had cancer. 10 Oh, well, those were his stepuncles. Q 11 Α I'm sorry. Those were step, right. I was thinking 12 they were half brothers. 13 Well, they were very close. Q Right? 14 Α Uh-huh. Hoot I was thinking of. 15 Q Hoot, yeah, because that's Hoot Gibson's name, isn't 16 it? 17 You bet. Α 18 0 Are you recalling anyone other than Myron, the 19 father, in Sam's blood family that died of cancer? 20 Α I was thinking the uncles had. I'm sorry. 21 what I was speaking of. 22 Do you remember about the - when the reports about Q 23 saccharin may be causing cancer came out? 24 Uh-huh. Α (Yes) 25 And Sam wouldn't use saccharin then.

1 Α Uh-huh. (Yes) 2 Say yes or no. 0 3 I'm sorry; I'm sorry. It's getting late in Α 4 the day. I apologize. 5 I know. We're all looking to leaving. Q 6 Α I apologize. 7 Dr. Phelan, I think you already mentioned burnt 0 8 meat? 9 Yes, sir. Α 10 Do you remember that Sam, when he heard about burnt Q 11 meat possibly causing cancer, he wouldn't eat the 12 burnt meat anymore? 13 Α That is correct. I heard that from his wife - I 14 read it - that his wife said. 15 You know that Sam Allgood was always telling his Q wife, "Well, Bonnie, I've been smoking so long, if 16 17 it was going to happen, it would have already 18 happened. Don't worry. It isn't going to happen to 19 Right? Do you recall that? me?" 20 MR. BIERSTEKER: I object to the form of 21 the question. 22 I recall reading that, yes, a marvelous A 23 rationalization. 24 (By Mr. Holford) So when Sam -- now, you are a Q 25 psychologist. Right?

- 1 A That is correct. I am not a psychiatrist.
- Q Well, you know how sometimes hard messages can affect people?
- 4 A Yes, sir.
- So with this kind of history, when Sam Allgood was told, "Allgood, looks like you've got cancer," you expect he was in shock?
- 8 A Of course.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 9 MR. HOLFORD: All right, I may not have 10 much more.
- Q (By Mr. Holford) Have you ever heard of Dr. John
  Grabowski?
  - A No, sir, I don't think I'm familiar with him except
    I had heard his name last night as one of the people
    that was testifying.
  - Q All right, was anything do you recall anything that was said to you about Dr. John Grabowski?
    - A They asked me if I knew Dr. Grabowski. I said no.

      I know a lot of psychologists in Texas because I've
      been floating around a long time and meet them at
      conferences and et cetera, but then they said he was
      not a clinician. So I probably wouldn't have run
      into him.
  - Q Did they tell you that he was in the University of Texas Department of Psychiatry?

- 1 A Yes, in Houston, yes.
- 2 Q That is Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral
- 3 Scientists?
- 4 A That's right.
- 5 | Q I'm sorry, Sciences.
- 6 A Yeah.
- 7 Q Were any of the opinions of Dr. Grabowski in this
- 8 case discussed with you?
- 9 A I don't know. I don't know what his opinions were.
- Q Were any opinions about nicotine addiction discussed
- 11 | with you?
- 12 A No, sir, I don't know what his opinions were.
- 13 | Q Well, I'm saying ---
- 14 A I haven't read anything. I don't know anything.
- Q I don't know. Maybe they were attributed to him,
- and maybe they weren't. I'm just saying were any
- opinions about nicotine addiction discussed with
- 18 you?
- 19 A My opinions. That's what we discussed. I don't
- 20 know I don't know anything about what Dr.
- 21 Grabowski has said. The only time it came up was
- 22 | did I know him, had I met this psychologist, and I
- had said no, I couldn't bring it to mind. I didn't
- know him. I may have met him, but I don't remember
- 25 that, and I had asked, you know, kind of where he

worked and what he did to see if it jogged a memory. 1 When I realized he isn't a clinician, I - those are 2 the ones I know. He's not one. So I figured I 3 didn't know. 4 Well, it didn't take you long to state your opinions 5 Q about nicotine addiction, did it? 6 MR. RILEY: Object to the form of the 7 8 question. 9 Α Take long? Right. You just said there isn't any. Right? 10 0 No, I didn't say that. I said I don't believe that 11 Α 12 cigarettes are addictive, and, yes, I believe they have nicotine in them. That's what I've said. 13 So in your opinion, nicotine can be addicting then? 14 0 15 A No. MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of 16 17 the question. MR. RILEY: Object to the form. 18 MR. BIERSTEKER: It's been asked and 19 20 answered. 21 (By Mr. Holford) I thought you said that. Q 22 Α No, sir. 23 That in the laboratory ---0 I said, in the laboratory, you need to go ask 24 Α somebody about that pure nicotine. I don't know. 25

1 Oh, I see, okay. You don't have an opinion on Q 2 whether nicotine by itself ---3 Α Pure in the laboratory is anything. 4 0 --- can be addicting or not? 5 Α I don't know anything about it. All I am saying is I don't believe cigarettes are addicting. 6 Now, did you learn yesterday that Dr. John Grabowski 7 0 was head of the University of Texas Health Science 8 9 Center's substance abuse research center? 10 Α I understood ---11 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to form of the 12 question. 13 THE WITNESS: Excuse me. I'11 14 MR. BIERSTEKER: That's all right. 15 just object to the form of the question. I understood that he was a researcher in the area of 16 Α 17 substance abuse. I don't know that I knew his exact 18 title is all I'm saying. If Dr. John Grabowski doesn't 19 0 (By Mr. Holford) 20 treat patients, is that of any significance in your opinion as to his having opinions on - in the 21 22 substance abuse area? 23 MR. RILEY: Object to the form. 24 He can have any opinions he wants on anything. Α 25 not going to object to that at all. I think that if you don't treat patients you miss a tremendous amount. I have found that researchers don't always know what really goes on with patients and that where you learn about all this stuff is dealing with people. There's no substitute for being out there on the wards treating folks. There's just not.

There were things I learned at Saint
Elizabeth's that I couldn't possibly have learned
anywhere else treating patients.

- Q On the other hand, in treating patients, you see them only for the length of time that they're in your office or you're in their hospital area as you their psychologist and they the patient. Right?
- A I used to run the programs. You know, I mean, I ran a hundred fifty bed unit and 20 medical beds in San Antonio. I ran the substance abuse program here at Shoal Creek, started the adolescent substance abuse program.

No, I have been around patients a long time. I worked at Saint Elizabeth's when they were 6800 inpatients, a lot of whom, believe me, were alcoholic. No, what I learned was dealing with patients.

Q All right, and you've already described today the extent you've dealt with people for tobacco issues.

1 Right? And among all of those patients, trust me, a large 2 Α 3 percentage were tobacco smokers. 4 0 They may have been, but to the extent you treated 5 them for their tobacco usage, do you remember how 6 many that was? 7 No, I think I told you ---Α 8 MR. RILEY: Asked and answered. 9 Α --- I don't count patients. I don't know. 10 (By Mr. Holford) All right. Now -- so I'm looking 0 11 here, Dr. Phelan, at a March 1, 1993 opinion letter 12 and a November 8, 1993 opinion letter, and there's 13 also a declaration of John Grabowski in this case. 14 You've never seen any of those things? 15 Α No, sir. 16 You never had the substance of them or anything from 0 17 them discussed with you that you know of? 18 I have never seen those papers. I don't know what Α 19 he said in those. Okay? I don't have any idea. 20 You didn't ask to know what John Grabowski thinks? 0 21 Α No, because they were interested in what I thought. 22 I'm not going to change my opinion just because 23 someone else has a different opinion. 24 When you mentioned that you had seen excerpts from Q 25 the surgeon general's reports and I included in my

1 question the '88 and '89 surgeon general's reports 2 and you said you had ---3 I have seen excerpts from them, yes. Α 4 0 Do you recall in seeing any excerpt from the 1988 5 surgeon general's report these major conclusions, 6 the three items that we went over? 7 Α I may have. I don't recall. I've certainly seen 8 excerpts from all of the surgeon general's reports, 9 but I don't remember the specifics of the excerpts. 10 Q Well, the excerpts you believed were good summaries 11 of what was in the reports. Right? 12 Α I thought they were. 13 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to form. 14 Α But I didn't read the report. So I really can't 15 make a definitive statement on that. 16 thought they were. 17 Well, do you expect then that these major Q 18 conclusions from Page 9 of the surgeon general's 19 report, which are stated in the introduction to the 20 whole report - that those major conclusions of the 21 report would have been in the excerpt that you saw? 22 I don't know. Α 23 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form. 24 0 But I say do you expect that it would have? 25 Could have been, certainly could have been. Α I don't

1 know. So you don't recall having any reaction one way or 2 3 the other about the excerpt you read from the 1988 4 surgeon general's report. Right? 5 Α The things that I have recalled were that they said 6 that cigarette smoking is a risk factor with cancer, heart disease and a number of other diseases. 7 8 already reached that conclusion myself a long time 9 ago. 10 Q What surgeon general's report are you saying said 11 that cigarette smoking was a risk factor? 12 That's what I understood from -- I don't know which Α 13 I've been reading them since whenever they You said somewhere in the 1960s. 14 I don't 15 remember the date but from wherever they started 16 that they posed a risk. 17 People mean different things by posing a risk. Q 18 you saying that there's no proof that cigarette smoking causes lung cancer in this instance? 19 20 MR. BIERSTEKER: Object to the form of 21 the question. 22 Α I think they pose a risk to the development of a 23 number of illnesses just like I think there are lots 24 of things out there posing a risk to the development

of cancers of all kinds.

1	Q	(By Mr. Holford) Does cigarette smoking cause lung					
2		cancer?					
3	A	I don't know. I think it poses a risk, a big enough					
4		risk that I quit smoking.					
5	Q	Made your personal choice. Right?					
6	A	I made my personal choice. I hope it was in time.					
7		If it wasn't, I have to live with it, don't I?					
8	Q	Well, you've never had any harms or diseases or					
9		anything from your cigarette smoking, have you?					
10	A	I don't know. I've not looked for it.					
11	Q	Well, I know, but I mean, you've never been					
12		diagnosed with any?					
13	A	No, sir.					
14	Q	Okay.					
15		THE WITNESS: Let me just call.					
16		MR. BIERSTEKER: Let's just take a short					
17		break.					
18		(Recess - two minutes					
19		(Deposition concluded.					
20							
21							
22		(Signature of witness)					
23		(bighacule of withess)					
24		SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me by P.					
25		CAREN PHELAN, Ph.D. on this day of					

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

INDIVIDUAL INDEPENDEN THE ESTATE	T EXECUTRIX OF OF SAMUEL E. ARCUS ALLGOOD,	X X X X X			
VS.	M ALGOOD	X X X	C.A.	NO.	H-91-0158
COMPANY, T TOBACCO CO TOBACCO IN AND THE CO	LDS TOBACCO HE AMERICAN MPANY, THE STITUTE, INC., UNCIL FOR TOBACCO .S.A., INC.	X X X			

## CERTIFICATE TO THE ORAL DEPOSITION OF:

P. CAREN PHELAN, Ph.D.

I, Neal W. Husak, certified shorthand reporter, state of Texas, do hereby certify that there came before me on the 22nd day of March 1994 in the law offices of Jones, Day, Reavis & Pogue, 301 Congress Avenue, Suite 1200, Austin, Texas the deponent, P. Caren Phelan, who was then duly sworn.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any of the parties to the action in which this deposition is taken and further that I am not a

relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.

The deposition was thereafter reduced to computerized transcription under my direction, and the the deposition transcript was then made available to the said witness on March 30, 1994 to be read, subscribed and sworn to.

I further cert	tify that the	witness	did
did not sign and	d return the	deposition	to me,
that changeswer	cewe	re not made	by the
witness, that any char	nges which we	re made are	<b>:</b>
attached hereto and th	nat the origin	nal deposit	ion has
been delivered to cour	nsel who asked	d the quest	ions.

Dated this 29th day of March 1994.

Neal W. Husak Certified Shorthand Reporter State of Texas

(Certificate No. 2143)

My Certification Expires: December 31, 1994.